# Subject: [Inconsistency?] ROOT 6.06 vs. 6.10 Posted by Ralf Kliemt on Tue, 05 Dec 2017 16:42:02 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Dear all,

We found a severe inconsistency between a minor step-up from ROOT 6.06 to 6.10, effecting our simulations. I tested a FairSoft oct.17 release with FairRoot 17.10a. I installed a second version with the older ROOT version, in order to pin the issue down to ROOT.

From the release notes of ROOT I found that the geometry classes were subject to a larger change in ROOT 6.08.

The effect is, that the track length in the STT (see attached pdf, page 2, upper row, middle) is capped at about 1000.

Did anyone see that effect, too?

Cheers! Ralf

### File Attachments

1) comparing.pdf, downloaded 301 times

Subject: Re: [Inconsistency?] ROOT 6.06 vs. 6.10

Posted by Radoslaw Karabowicz on Wed, 06 Dec 2017 07:48:15 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Extremely interesting. Is the discrepancy also present in the MCTrack branch? Number of secondaries? energies?

It is very strange that not all detectors are affected.

Subject: Re: [Inconsistency?] ROOT 6.06 vs. 6.10

Posted by Ralf Kliemt on Wed, 06 Dec 2017 09:58:40 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hi Radek,

Yes, we have that already in the MCTrack banch. Good call.

Plots in the pdf below.

This is really worrying.

#### File Attachments

1) comparing.pdf, downloaded 224 times

Subject: Re: [Inconsistency?] ROOT 6.06 vs. 6.10

#### Posted by Ralf Kliemt on Wed, 06 Dec 2017 15:49:28 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

It seems ROOT does not like the DIRC support structures. The GEANT stepping aborts very often with

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

\* G4Track Information: Particle = mu-, Track ID = 4, Parent ID = 0

X(mm) Y(mm) Z(mm) KinE(MeV) dE(MeV) StepLeng TrackLeng NextVolume Step# ProcName -288 10002 343 446 1.86e+03 0 0 632 DrcBarSupportS Transportation -288 632 DrcBarSupportS Transportation 10003 343 446 1.86e+03 0 0 632 DrcBarSupportS Transportation 10004 343 -288 446 1.86e+03 0 0 632 DrcBarSupportS Transportation 10005 343 -288 446 1.86e+03 0 0

I contacted Roman to investigate further. Attached you'll find my comparison plots with and without the whole DIRC.

Ralf

## File Attachments

- 1) comparing-all.pdf, downloaded 243 times
- 2) comparing-nodrc.pdf, downloaded 181 times

Subject: Re: [Inconsistency?] ROOT 6.06 vs. 6.10 Posted by Roman Dzhygadlo on Thu, 07 Dec 2017 09:58:45 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hi guys,

the issue is connected to the TGeoTubeSeg volume which has problems with new fairsoft packages (root6.10/geant10.2?)

I replaced it with TGeoTube with some volume subtraction. With new geometry it looks like everything is fine again.

cheers,

Roman

Subject: Re: [Inconsistency?] ROOT 6.06 vs. 6.10 Posted by Ralf Kliemt on Fri, 15 Dec 2017 09:13:47 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

There was another drc geometry update.

The problems become less. That's good.

You can find the comparison between the root versions below.

#### File Attachments

1) comparing-drcfix2.pdf, downloaded 189 times

Subject: Re: [Inconsistency?] ROOT 6.06 vs. 6.10 Posted by Tobias Stockmanns on Fri, 15 Dec 2017 09:28:18 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

The largest descrepancies seem to be for the straw tube detectors. In Root 6.10 they have much more entries for long track length.

In addition the number of secondaries seems to be less in Root 6.10 (at least the MCTrack.fMotherID does not extend to numbers beyond 800).

What is the suggestion to proceed?

Cheers,

**Tobias** 

Subject: Re: [Inconsistency?] ROOT 6.06 vs. 6.10 Posted by Ralf Kliemt on Fri, 15 Dec 2017 09:53:18 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hi.

Since we're looking at a "fresh" bug by root I favor to make the release with Root 6.06, i.e. the "old" FairSoft/FairRoot combination, which is running well, so far. The bug in root seems to be addressed already in their master branch (thanks Radek!) and we shall simply skip the latest FairSoft release until we get the fix.

In the future we'll be more flexible with the alibuild structure!

Cheers!

Ralf