Subject: Bug fixed in PndKinFitter read-in covariance matrix
Posted by Lu Cao on Thu, 29 Sep 2016 14:33:51 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Dear all,

I just found a bug in RhoKinFitter::ReadMatrix(), when the original cov matrix is re-arranged from (X, Y, Z, Px, Py, Pz, E) basis to (Px, Py, Pz, E, X, Y, Z).
For example, the origianal cov matrix of a photon in (X, Y, Z, Px, Py, Pz, E) is

	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
0	0.2111	0.008221	-0.02881	0.0002914	1.135e-05	-3.977e-05	0
1	0.008221	0.2217	0.02912	1.135e-05	0.0003061	4.02e-05	0
2	-0.02881	0.02912	0.008063	-3.977e-05	4.02e-05	1.113e-05	0
3	0.0002914	1.135e-05	-3.977e-05	3.203e-06	-2.683e-06	1.97e-05	2.013e-05
4	1.135e-05	0.0003061	4.02e-05	-2.683e-06	3.022e-06	-1.897e-05	-1.939e-05
5	-3.977e-05	4.02e-05	1.113e-05	1.97e-05	-1.897e-05	0.0001393	0.000142
6	0	0	0	2.013e-05	-1.939e-05	0.000142	0.0001447

but the re-arranged one in (Px, Py, Pz, E, X, Y, Z) is

	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
0	3.203e-06	-2.683e-06	1.97e-05	2.013e-05	1.135e-05	-3.977e-05	0
1	-2.683e-06	3.022e-06	-1.897e-05	-1.939e-05	0.0003061	4.02e-05	0
2	1.97e-05	-1.897e-05	0.0001393	0.000142	4.02e-05	1.113e-05	0
3	2.013e-05	-1.939e-05	0.000142	0.0001447	0	0	0
4	0.0002914	1.135e-05	-3.977e-05	0	0.2111	0.008221	-0.02881
5	1.135e-05	0.0003061	4.02e-05	0	0.008221	0.2217	0.02912
6	-3.977e-05	4.02e-05	1.113e-05	0	-0.02881	0.02912	0.008063

.

One can notice that some elements in the new cov matrix are wrongly filled, eg. the new (0,4) should be equel to the old (3,0)=0.0002914 rather than 1.135e-05. Since the new cov matrix is not symmetric, the whole fitting procedure will be badly influenced. This is caused by a bug in the code:

```
272 //Change to px,py,pz,E,x,y,z
273     for(int i=0; i<7; i++) {
274       for(int j=0; j<7; j++) {
275         if(i>=3) {
276           if(j>=3) {
277             p4Cov[i-3][j-3] = p3Cov[i][j];
278           } else { p4Cov[i-3][j+3] = p3Cov[i][j]; }
279         } else {
280           if(j>=3) {
281             p4Cov[i+4][j-3] = p3Cov[i][j];
282           } else { p4Cov[i+4][j+4] = p3Cov[i][j]; }
283         }
284       }
```

```
285    }
.
```

The line #278,
Quote: p4Cov[i-3][j+3] = p3Cov[i][j] should be p4Cov[i-3][j+4] = p3Cov[i][j].

I've studied the pull distributions of befor & after fixing, combining with another bug fixed in this fitter recetly (trk 29480), with my Ds semileptonic decay chain. The result shows several issues regarding to the covariane matrix itself and the fitter, and seems may evoke a long and detailed discussion. For a more efficent and direct communication, I would prefer to present the plots in the upcoming software meeting on 4th Oct..

BTW, the fixed code is attached. (No right to commit...)

Best regards,
Lu

File Attachments

1) RhoKinFitter.cxx, downloaded 644 times

Subject: Re: Bug fixed in PndKinFitter read-in covariance matrix
Posted by Ralf Kliemt on Fri, 30 Sep 2016 07:33:01 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Dear Lu,

I changed the line in the repository for you. Great thing you found that bug!

Cheers!
Ralf

Subject: Re: Bug fixed in PndKinFitter read-in covariance matrix
Posted by StefanoSpataro on Fri, 30 Sep 2016 07:57:39 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I would be curious to see the difference between probability distributions before and after the bug fix. Could you please provide such plots?

Subject: Re: Bug fixed in PndKinFitter read-in covariance matrix
Posted by Lu Cao on Fri, 30 Sep 2016 12:41:29 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Thanks, Ralf.

Lu

Subject: Re: Bug fixed in PndKinFitter read-in covariance matrix
Posted by Lu Cao on Fri, 30 Sep 2016 12:47:59 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hi Stefano,

Here's a link of my slides for our group seminar yesterday, which includes the plots you asked for.
https://www.dropbox.com/s/hvh6263wqylv46x/lucao_ikp_29092016.pdf?dl=0
I'm going to present the results on the software meeting basically with the same slides.

Best,
Lu

Subject: Re: Bug fixed in PndKinFitter read-in covariance matrix
Posted by Ralf Kliemt on Thu, 06 Oct 2016 12:33:55 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hi.

As it was pointed out by Jennifer, the same bug was existent in the KinVtxFitter, too. It's fixed now, too (rev. 29531).

Cheers!
Ralf