
Subject: Software meeting, Tuesday, 10th of November, 14:30 CET
Posted by [Stefano Spataro](#) on Tue, 03 Nov 2015 09:29:09 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Next meeting on Tuesday, 10th of November, 14:00 CET. If you plan to give a presentation or you have some topic to discuss, please let us know.

Subject: Re: Software meeting, Tuesday, 10th of November, 14:00 CET
Posted by [Tobias Stockmanns](#) on Tue, 03 Nov 2015 10:02:39 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dear all,

I want to give a presentation about the usage of FAIR Message Queues in the analysis of online data from the MVD.

Working title: "FairMQ for MVD online test beam analysis".

Cheers,

Tobias

Subject: Re: Software meeting, Tuesday, 10th of November, 14:00 CET
Posted by [Jennifer Pütz](#) on Wed, 04 Nov 2015 08:28:28 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hi all,

I want to give an update for "fitting with neutrals" and a short report about the EvtGen bug and its "quick" fix.

Cheers,
Jenny

Subject: Re: Software meeting, Tuesday, 10th of November, 14:00 CET
Posted by [Stefano Spataro](#) on Wed, 04 Nov 2015 13:30:19 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Here the agenda:

- a) General Items
- b) Open bugs&forum problems&activities
- c) Analysis
 - (J.Puetz) Updates on neutral fitters
 - (M.Kunkel) Probabilistic Multi-variable background suppression method Qfactor
- d) A.o.B.

Here the minute wiki page:

<https://panda-wiki.gsi.de/foswiki/bin/view/Computing/Minutes10Nov2015>

Subject: Re: Software meeting, Tuesday, 10th of November, 14:00 CET
Posted by [StefanoSpataro](#) on Wed, 04 Nov 2015 13:33:39 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Title: Panda software meeting
Description:
Community: GSI/FAIR
This meeting has a password
Meeting type: Open Meeting (Round Table)

Meeting Access Information:
SeeVoghRN Application
<http://research.seevogh.com/joinSRN?meeting=MMMeMn2D2BDsDn9I9MD29M>
Mobile App : Meeting ID: 739 4584 or Link:
<http://research.seevogh.com/join?meeting=MMMeMn2D2BDsDn9I9MD29M>

Guests Access Information :
SeeVoghRN Application
<http://research.seevogh.com/joinSRN?guest=8007350147096161070000848549>
Mobile App (only from link)
<http://research.seevogh.com/join?guest=8007350147096161070000848549>

- Phone Bridge
ID: 739 4584

Central European Time (+0100)
Start 2015-11-10 13:30
End 2015-11-10 18:30

Subject: Re: Software meeting, Tuesday, 10th of November, 14:00 CET
Posted by [mckunkel](#) on Wed, 04 Nov 2015 14:00:02 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Greetings,

I can join this meeting at 1500. I have a previously scheduled meeting.

BR
MK

Subject: Re: Software meeting, Tuesday, 10th of November, 14:30 CET
Posted by [StefanoSpataro](#) on Mon, 09 Nov 2015 15:54:18 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dear all,
due to some technical problem the start of the software meeting is shifted to 14:30.

Subject: Re: Software meeting, Tuesday, 10th of November, 14:30 CET
Posted by [Stefano Spataro](#) on Mon, 16 Nov 2015 09:44:20 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Minutes are ready!

<https://panda-wiki.gsi.de/foswiki/bin/view/Computing/Minutes10Nov2015>

Subject: Re: Software meeting, Tuesday, 10th of November, 14:30 CET
Posted by [Bertram Kopf](#) on Tue, 17 Nov 2015 12:42:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dear Stefano,

just one remark to the q-weight-factor method discussed during the last software meeting. This method has been used not only by CLAS experiment but also by the Bochum group since a couple of years (see e.g. Eur. Phys. J. C (2015) 75: 124) . The WiBaS package has also been written by Julian Pychy from Bochum and is available on git-hub at <https://github.com/RUB-EP1/WiBaS> . It would be nice to refer to this package in a correct way.

Many thanks and with kind regards,
Bertram

Subject: Re: Software meeting, Tuesday, 10th of November, 14:30 CET
Posted by [mckunkel](#) on Tue, 17 Nov 2015 12:51:47 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Greetings,

It is correct that not only CLAS has been using the Qfactor method. However I do not feel that it is incorrect to not cite <https://github.com/RUB-EP1/WiBaS> as my version of the Qfactor code <https://github.com/mckunkel/QFactor> has been coded to incorporate the error of the fit, i.e. the error on the Qfactor.
Until we can merge and debug some problems that have arose with the package in <https://github.com/RUB-EP1/WiBaS>, I would not prefer to cite any package.

BR
MK

Subject: Re: Software meeting, Tuesday, 10th of November, 14:30 CET

Posted by [Stefano Spataro](#) on Tue, 17 Nov 2015 13:51:27 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

In the minutes I have added that Bochum group is using the package. Maybe it would be good to write the name of the authors in the presentation, at least in the one for the collaboration meeting and not for this internal one.

Subject: Re: Software meeting, Tuesday, 10th of November, 14:30 CET

Posted by [Bertram Kopf](#) on Wed, 18 Nov 2015 14:19:31 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dear Michael,

we were not aware that you also published the q-factor code on git hub. As you know the original author is Julian and some weeks ago you received his code together with the GPLv3 license header in each file. You published exactly this code with some modifications made by you. Julians copyright information seems to be lost in the individual files somehow. Therefore I would kindly like to ask you to insert the copyright again.

Many thanks and with best regards,
Bertram

Subject: Re: Software meeting, Tuesday, 10th of November, 14:30 CET

Posted by [mckunkel](#) on Wed, 18 Nov 2015 14:21:50 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Greetings,

I was not aware the code was published On GitHub either by the Bochum group.

The license and copyright is not lost in my copy, it is put into one file called license.txt, <https://github.com/mckunkel/QFactor/blob/master/License.txt>, which is legally sufficient.

It was redundant to scroll through every file with a license header.

No one here is taking credit for others work as I am promoting the package, not claiming it. I am only try to improve in the package and help this community.

BR
MK

Subject: Re: Software meeting, Tuesday, 10th of November, 14:30 CET

Posted by [mckunkel](#) on Thu, 19 Nov 2015 09:17:30 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Greetings,

It also dawned on me that you must have seen my copy of the WiBaS package, therefore you must have seen that in every file I wrote

Quote:

```
/* This file is part of WiBaS          *  
 * See License                        */
```

Meaning that somewhere in the package there was a license. Please let's start a useful dialogue, the current is seemingly pretentious.

I have reached out to Julian to discuss the need for my edits and why my copy is more complete because no background subtraction method is complete without error propagation. I have yet to hear back from him.

BR
MK

Subject: Re: Software meeting, Tuesday, 10th of November, 14:30 CET
Posted by [Bertram Kopf](#) on Thu, 19 Nov 2015 14:59:15 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dear Michael,

sorry for discussing again the procedure how to publish the software code. According to the GPLv3 license it is definitely not allowed to remove any text of the copyright and license header written by the original author in the individual files. The relevant part in the GPLv3 license document contains paragraph 4, namely:

Quote:

4. Conveying Verbatim Copies.

You may convey verbatim copies of the Program's source code as you receive it, in any medium, provided that you conspicuously and appropriately publish on each copy an appropriate copyright notice; keep intact all notices stating that this License and any non-permissive terms added in accord with section 7 apply to the code; keep intact all notices of the absence of any warranty; and give all recipients a copy of this License along with the Program. ...

And on <http://www.gnu.org/licenses/gpl-howto.html>

Quote:

... Whichever license you plan to use, the process involves adding two elements to each source file of your program: a copyright notice (such as "Copyright 1999 Terry Jones"), and a statement of copying permission, saying that the program is distributed under the terms of the GNU General Public License (or the Lesser GPL). ...

Therefore we would kindly like to ask you to add again the original header into each file.

BTW: Julian has now sent you an email concerning the discussion about specific items of the q-weight method and in particular concerning the error propagation. This is of course a more useful discussion.

Again, many thanks and with best regards,
Bertram and Julian

Subject: Re: Software meeting, Tuesday, 10th of November, 14:30 CET

Posted by [mckunkel](#) on Thu, 19 Nov 2015 16:03:42 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Greetings,

Many thanks for showing my point.

Section 4 does not apply in this case because I am not keeping a verbatim copy. The keyword is verbatim which by definition means Quote: in exactly the same words as were used originally

Since I have not only modified it, I have also added the very much needed error propagation, something the original code lacked.

Therefore the terms of the license from Quote: 5. Conveying Modified Source Versions apply here, in which it states

Quote: b) The work must carry prominent notices stating that it is released under this License and any conditions added under section 7. This requirement modifies the requirement in section 4 to "keep intact all notices".

Please keep in mind that I am only trying to help you understand, but moreover if you want to continue this useless banter, please call me 2461 61 3232. I feel this could be more beneficial from verbal communication .

BR

MK
