

---

Subject: Problems with PARTWAVE Model of EvtGen  
Posted by [Jennifer Pütz](#) on Tue, 25 Aug 2015 14:44:29 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Hi everyone,

is there one of you who worked already with the PARTWAVE model of EvtGen?  
I want to use it for the decay of  $\text{Xi}(1820) \rightarrow \text{Lambda}0 + \text{K}^-$  with  $l=2$

For this I extended the evt.pdl file with the line:

```
add p Particle Xi(1820)- 23314 1.8230000e+00 2.4000000e-02 5.0000000e-03 -3 3
0.0000000e+00 0
```

As decay file I use the attached Dec-file. I set the decay model to PARTWAVE 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 1.0 0.0 like described in the documentation.

But if I run the simulation macro the following message appears and the macro stops.

```
EvtGen:Mixing type integer set to 1
EvtGen:Done initializing EvtGen
EvtGen:In readDecayFile,
reading:/private/puetz/mysimulations/analysis/XiMinus_1820_lambda0_K.dec
EvtGen:As requested, PHOTOS will be turned off.
EvtGen:PARTWAVE generator expected
EvtGen:4
EvtGen: arguments but found:6
Xi(1820)- -> Lambda0 K- (PARTWAVE)
EvtGen:Will terminate execution!
```

With the example file  
trunk/pgenerators/EvtGen/EvtGen/R01-03-00/test/exampleFiles/PARTWAVE.DEC the  
simulation macro is working fine.

If I reduce the number of arguments to PARTWAVE 0.0 0.0 1.0 0.0 the simulation is running  
without any error. I am not sure if it is correct to use it this way.

Can someone help me?

----

I'm using:

```
FairSoft: mar15
FairRoot: v-15.03a
PandaRoot: trunk Rev. 28039
```

-----

Best,

Jenny

### File Attachments

---

- 1) [XiMinus\\_1820\\_lambda0\\_K.dec](#), downloaded 670 times
  - 2) [evt.pdl](#), downloaded 641 times
  - 3) [sim\\_complete.C](#), downloaded 608 times
- 

---

Subject: Re: Problems with PARTWAVE Model of EvtGen  
Posted by [StefanoSpataro](#) on Mon, 31 Aug 2015 12:50:45 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I think the problem comes from the fact that the initial state has spin 3/2, but I have no idea how this sum up. It seems to me it does not cover  $l=2$  but it arrives to  $l=1$ .

---