
Subject: [FIXED] MC truth

Posted by [Karin Schönning](#) on Thu, 06 Feb 2014 13:18:01 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hej pandas,

my student and I are trying to simulate $\Psi(3770) \rightarrow D^+ D^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ K^- \pi^- \pi^- K^+$. We would like to check each reconstructed π^+ candidate against the mc truth and see if its corresponding MC truth track has a D^+ as its mother.

I tried this:

```
theAnalysis->FillList(piplus, "PionAllPlus");
int ipiprec =0;
int ipipmoth=0;
piplus.SetType(211);
for (k=0; k<piplus.GetLength(); ++k) {
    if ( theAnalysis->McTruthMatch(piplus[k]) ) {
        RhoCandidate *piptr=piplus[k]->GetMcTruth();
        if(piptr && piptr->PdgCode()==211){
            ipiprec++;
            RhoCandidate *mother = 0;
            mother = piptr->TheMother();
            if (mother && mother->PdgCode()==411)
            {
                ipipmoth++;
            }
        }
    }
}
```

cout<<" piprec: "<<ipiprec<<" , "<<" pipmoth: "<<ipipmoth<<endl;

From running 10 events I get the following output:

```
piprec: 2 , pipmoth: 2
piprec: 3 , pipmoth: 1
piprec: 2 , pipmoth: 2
piprec: 4 , pipmoth: 3
piprec: 0 , pipmoth: 0
piprec: 2 , pipmoth: 2
piprec: 4 , pipmoth: 4
piprec: 3 , pipmoth: 3
piprec: 1 , pipmoth: 0
```

meaning there are several events with more than 2 π^+ candidates coming from a D^+ decay. Does anybody know why this happens/what I am doing wrong?

Cheers,
/Karin

Subject: Re: MC truth
Posted by [StefanoSpataro](#) on Thu, 06 Feb 2014 14:35:50 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Which pandaroot version/release are you using?

Subject: Re: MC truth
Posted by [Karin Schönning](#) on Thu, 06 Feb 2014 15:43:37 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Oh, it's 22988 from the end of november last year, has this part been changed since then?

Subject: Re: MC truth
Posted by [StefanoSpataro](#) on Thu, 06 Feb 2014 15:45:13 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Yes, we stated that there was a bug in the tracking code. Please use the last release jan14.

Subject: Re: MC truth
Posted by [Karin Schönning](#) on Fri, 07 Feb 2014 10:34:21 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Should one use the external packages from Dec13 or are there bugs in them as well?

Subject: Re: MC truth
Posted by [StefanoSpataro](#) on Fri, 07 Feb 2014 11:06:54 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

As stated in wiki:

[https://panda-wiki.gsi.de/foswiki/bin/view/Computing/PandaRoot#Suggested
_version_of_the_code](https://panda-wiki.gsi.de/foswiki/bin/view/Computing/PandaRoot#Suggested_version_of_the_code)

Suggested version of the code

External packages apr13
PandaRoot release jan14
(updated on 02/02/2014)

Subject: Re: MC truth
Posted by [Karin Schönning](#) on Fri, 07 Feb 2014 12:18:33 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Thanks! Now the output is

piprec: 1 , pipmoth: 1
piprec: 1 , pipmoth: 1
piprec: 2 , pipmoth: 2
piprec: 2 , pipmoth: 2
piprec: 1 , pipmoth: 1
piprec: 0 , pipmoth: 0
piprec: 1 , pipmoth: 1
piprec: 1 , pipmoth: 1
piprec: 2 , pipmoth: 2

which is what I expect.

Subject: Re: MC truth
Posted by [Stefano Spataro](#) on Fri, 07 Feb 2014 18:43:29 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Exactly, there was a bug in tracking which was fixed after the last Collaboration Meeting.

Subject: Re: MC truth
Posted by [Karin Schönning](#) on Wed, 19 Mar 2014 16:43:58 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hi again,

I switched the fairroot base to v13.12 in order to be able to run simulations with extended target. Since then the problem came back: the MC truth match does not seem to work but in a few events the number of e.g. pions or kaons is larger than it should. Since i thought that the previous version I used when it worked, was v13.05 I switched back to that and recompiled, but the problem remained. Now it came to my mind that the fairrot version may have been a different one - unfortunately I forgot to keep record. Which version should be used?

Cheers,
/Karin

Subject: Re: MC truth
Posted by [Stefano Spataro](#) on Wed, 19 Mar 2014 18:09:33 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Karin Schönning wrote on Wed, 19 March 2014 17:43 I switched the fairroot base to v13.12 in order to be able to run simulations with extended target.

What do you mean? You can use the extended target also with the previous version. The new trunk is already linked to 13.12 since a while. Which version of the code are you using?

Subject: Re: MC truth

Posted by [Karin Schönning](#) on Wed, 19 Mar 2014 21:28:41 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I discussed with Stefan Pfueger how to set the extended target and he asked me to check which version of fairroot I had in order to use the FairPrimaryGenerator the way he uses it. I did "svn info base" and I THINK (but this was about a month ago so I am not sure I remember correctly) that it was v13.05. Then I did an svn switch to v13.12. The extended target stuff worked but now since the MCtruth match gives some weird results I try to figure out why. This is the only change I have made since I had something which (seemed to) work (though I did not run so many events before as I did this time). I use the jan14 release of pandaroot. However, I have the same problem with v13.12 as with v13.05 so I was thinking that the problem is either that I use the wrong fairroot base version or the MC truth match still doesn't work perfectly with the jan14 release. Did anyone else have this problem?

Subject: Re: MC truth

Posted by [Stefano Spataro](#) on Fri, 21 Mar 2014 08:44:21 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Again,

I have not understood which are the features of the FairPrimaryGenerator which were not present before, since as far as I know there are no big differences.

Second, if you use "hybrid" installations I cannot be sure that everything was done properly, and nobody can check. It is also possible that you were using simulation files or parameters made with the previous version and reconstruction done with the other.

I suggest to check what is going wrong with the last trunk, so that everybody can check, and to report in a new thread (or to modify the first message), since this is [FIXED].
