
Subject: Tutorial feb2012

Posted by [Dmitry Khanef](#) on Thu, 10 May 2012 09:01:45 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dear all,

I try to follow tutorial available at feb12.

I slightly modified it for my needs and try to plot some simple distributions but I can't see an effect of applying different PID criteria.

For example: All, VeryLoose, Loose, Tight, VeryTight give same results.

Here is a part of my code.

```
// *** TCandLists for the analysis
TCandList eplus, eminus;

...

while (theAnalysis->GetEvent() && i++<nevt){
  if ((i%1000)==0) cout << "Number of events analysed: " << i << endl;

  // *** Select with no PID info ('All'); type and mass are set
  theAnalysis->FillList(eplus, "ElectronTightPlus");
  //theAnalysis->FillList(eplus, "ElectronTightPlus","PidAlgoEmcBayes");
  theAnalysis->FillList(eminus, "ElectronTightPlus");
  //theAnalysis->FillList(eminus, "ElectronTightMinus","PidAlgoEmcBayes");

  // ELECTRONS ***
  for (Int_t j=0;j<eminus.GetLength();++j){
    // Fill momentum of reconstructed particles
    hP->Fill(eminus[j].GetMomentum().Mag());
    // Fill energy of reconstructed particles
    hE->Fill(eminus[j].Energy());
    // Fill momentum vs E/p
    hEP->Fill(eminus[j].GetMomentum().Mag(),
eminus[j].Energy()/eminus[j].GetMomentum().Mag());
    // *** CM frame *** //
    // boost from LAB to CM
    lv_charged_cm.SetVect(eminus[j].GetMomentum());
    lv_charged_cm.SetE(TMATH::Sqrt(cElectronMass*cElectronMass +
eminus[j].GetMomentum().Mag()*eminus[j].GetMomentum().Mag()));
    lv_charged_cm.Boost(-(lv_bar_beam + lv_p_target).BoostVector());

    hCosTheta_N_CM->Fill(lv_charged_cm.CosTheta());
  }
  for (Int_t j=0;j<eplus.GetLength();++j){
    // Fill momentum of reconstructed particles
    hP->Fill(eplus[j].GetMomentum().Mag());
    // Fill energy of reconstructed particles
```

```
hE->Fill(eplus[jj].Energy());
// Fill momentum vs E/p
hEP->Fill(eplus[jj].GetMomentum().Mag(), eplus[jj].Energy()/eplus[jj].GetMomentum().Mag());
// *** CM frame *** //
// boost from LAB to CM
lv_charged_cm.SetVect(eplus[jj].GetMomentum());
lv_charged_cm.SetE(TMATH::Sqrt(cElectronMass*cElectronMass +
eplus[jj].GetMomentum().Mag()*eplus[jj].GetMomentum().Mag()));
lv_charged_cm.Boost(-(lv_bar_beam + lv_p_target).BoostVector());

hCosTheta_P_CM->Fill(lv_charged_cm.CosTheta());
}
}
```

In addition histograms filled with energy and momentum are the same. Using "PidAlgoEmcBayes" also gives no effect.

Is it suppose to be like this or I do something wrong?

P.S. I used trunk 15407 instead of 14709 because later couldn't be compiled.

Cheers,
Dmitry

Subject: Re: Tutorial feb2012
Posted by [Stefano Spataro](#) on Thu, 10 May 2012 09:42:31 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Can you check if the TCA PidAlgoEmcBayes is filled?

Subject: Re: Tutorial feb2012
Posted by [Dmitry Khanef](#) on Thu, 10 May 2012 10:08:31 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Stefano Spataro wrote on Thu, 10 May 2012 11:42: Can you check if the TCA PidAlgoEmcBayes is filled?
What is TCA?

pid file has PidAlgoEmcBayes branch filled if that is what you meant.

Subject: Re: Tutorial feb2012
Posted by [Stefano Spataro](#) on Thu, 10 May 2012 10:14:39 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

TCA = TClonesArray.
My question is if PidAlgoEmcBayes is filled with zero or if it has "reasonable" values.

Subject: Re: Tutorial feb2012
Posted by [Dmitry Khanef](#) on Thu, 10 May 2012 10:19:52 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I would expect more "high" probability entries for electrons because I simulate electrons as initial particles but it is definitely not filled with 0.

I attach an example histogram, I think it looks ok.

File Attachments

1) [pidalgoemcbayes_electron.eps](#), downloaded 177 times

Subject: Re: Tutorial feb2012
Posted by [Stefano Spataro](#) on Thu, 10 May 2012 10:29:30 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Mmm,
maybe Ralf or Klaus should take a look.

Subject: Re: Tutorial feb2012
Posted by [Ralf Kliemt](#) on Thu, 10 May 2012 10:53:27 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hi,

From you macro I see:

```
theAnalysis->FillList(eplus, "ElectronTightPlus");  
//theAnalysis->FillList(eplus, "ElectronTightPlus", "PidAlgoEmcBayes");  
theAnalysis->FillList(eminus, "ElectronTightPlus");  
//theAnalysis->FillList(eminus, "ElectronTightMinus", "PidAlgoEmcBayes");
```

This means you disabled your choice of Algorithm and take the default "PidAlgoIdealCharged".
By switching back you should get reasonable results.

Be reminded that you can manually reset to any other PID combination "by hand". Ref. the tutorial.

Cheers.
Ralf

Subject: Re: Tutorial feb2012
Posted by [Stefano Spataro](#) on Thu, 10 May 2012 11:35:54 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hi Ralf,
in the text of the message it is written that uncommenting those lines the results are the same:

Quote:In addition histograms filled with energy and momentum are the same. Using "PidAlgoEmcBayes" also gives no effect.

Subject: Re: Tutorial feb2012

Posted by [Klaus Götzen](#) on Thu, 10 May 2012 13:39:23 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hi,

last time I tried to validate I also had problems with applying PID algos different then default. It seems that the TCAs are filled with reasonable values, but that the PidCombiner (which is supposed to multiply the values of different algos) somehow doesn't work correctly.

Ralf, do you remember, that we were taking a look to that, but without really solving the problem?

This actually means, that we/I need to take another look to the code. Unfortunately I'm sick at home at the moment, so not today...

Best,
Klaus
