Subject: Number of entries in TTree Posted by Dima Melnychuk on Tue, 05 Jul 2011 11:19:02 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Dear colleagues,

I have observed a strangeness with number of entries in TTree for simulation, digitization, reconstruction and pid, i.e. these number are different and I expect them to be equal.

I do simulation with eta_c macros from /macro/run/tdrct/eta_c. With 2000 events simulation with trunk rev.12565

Running simple macro on produced data

void test_data()
{
 TString inSimFileName = "evt_points_stt.root";
 TString inDigiFileName = "evt_digi_stt.root";
 TString inRecoFileName = "evt_reco_stt.root";
 TString inPidFileName = "evt_pid_stt.root";

TFile *inSimFile = TFile::Open(inSimFileName,"READ"); TTree *treeSim=(TTree *) inSimFile->Get("cbmsim");

TFile *inDigiFile = TFile::Open(inDigiFileName,"READ"); TTree *treeDigi=(TTree *) inDigiFile->Get("cbmsim");

TFile *inRecoFile = TFile::Open(inRecoFileName,"READ"); TTree *treeReco=(TTree *) inRecoFile->Get("cbmsim");

```
TFile *inPidFile = TFile::Open(inPidFileName,"READ");
TTree *treePid=(TTree *) inPidFile->Get("cbmsim");
```

```
std::cout<<"treeSim->GetEntriesFast()="<<treeSim->GetEntriesFast()<<std::endl;
std::cout<<"treeDigi->GetEntriesFast()="<<treeDigi->GetEntriesFast()<<std::endl;
std::cout<<"treeReco->GetEntriesFast()="<<treeReco->GetEntriesFast()<<std::endl;
std::cout<<"treePid->GetEntriesFast()="<<treePid->GetEntriesFast()<<std::endl;
}
```

I have the following output

```
treeSim->GetEntriesFast()=2000
treeDigi->GetEntriesFast()=2001
treeReco->GetEntriesFast()=2002
treePid->GetEntriesFast()=2001
```

And those number are the same for stt and tpc simulation.

Should those numbers be equal?

Subject: Re: Number of entries in TTree Posted by StefanoSpataro on Tue, 05 Jul 2011 11:32:50 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hi,

you are correct, they must be equal.

I sent a mail to Mohammad and Florian last week because of this bug. Meanwhile, if you use the latest may11, this problem is not present, we moved to an older version of base w/o such feature.

Subject: Re: Number of entries in TTree Posted by Tobias Stockmanns on Tue, 05 Jul 2011 11:44:49 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hi,

the difference in the number of entries is due to modifications for the time based simulation.

They should not cause any problems for standard simulations (the additional entries are just empty) but are necessary to store the content of some buffers after the time based simulation.

Cheers,

Tobias

Subject: Re: Number of entries in TTree Posted by StefanoSpataro on Tue, 05 Jul 2011 11:53:08 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

But the AddFriend returns a warning message, saying that the numbers of entries are different. Is there no other place where to put that information? Maybe the parameter file?

Subject: Re: Number of entries in TTree Posted by Tobias Stockmanns on Tue, 05 Jul 2011 12:27:45 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hi Stefano,

I do not see a different place where to put it. This is ordinary data which has to be processed

by the subdetector tasks. Maybe we can introduce another variable which switches of this feature for ordinary simulation or we swith off the warning if the difference between two trees is just one entry.

Cheers,

Tobias

Subject: Re: Number of entries in TTree Posted by Bernhard Ketzer on Tue, 05 Jul 2011 12:56:51 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Dear Tobias,

I think we should find a different way to store this data. The time-based simulation will (or should) become the standard for MC simulations in PANDA. If we continue to carry on this error, we will constantly run into troubles or questions associating the events.

Best regards,

Bernhard

Subject: Re: Number of entries in TTree Posted by Tobias Stockmanns on Tue, 05 Jul 2011 13:19:38 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Dear Bernhard and Stefano,

I do not think this is an error.

In terms of time based simulation it does not make sense to think about number of events despite in the MC simulation at the beginning. In the digitization stage the digis will be randomized and you access them not any longer by taking the same event but asking for time windows. The FairRootManager cares about providing you with the correct data. The additional entry at the end of the tree is necessary to store all those data which is still sitting in the data buffers in the digitization tasks. This data does not differ in any kind from the rest of the data and is processed later on in the same way. To store this data at a different place does not make sense in my point of view.

We sure can discuss this on one of the next EVO meetings or during the collaboration meeting in September.

Cheers,

Tobias

Hi,

another problem of the additional events appears when merging more than one file.

Let us assume that we have 2 mc files of 1000 events; after reconstrution we will have 2 pid files of 1001 events, the last is the dummy one.

When I want to add these 2 files, I will have 2000 mc files and 2002 pid files, but in this case the mc event #1001 will correspond to pid event #1002, breaking the friend mechanism. This is quite nasty.