
Subject: MVD covariance matrix = 0.

Posted by [Lia Lavezzi](#) on Mon, 04 Jul 2011 14:50:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hi MVD experts,

I am running some tests and I see several crashes in genfit, but after looking at the problem I think that this is caused by the sds covariance matrix, when it happens to have all the elements equal to 0:

-l- PndSdsRecoHit::PndSdsRecoHit: Wrote a hit with

(x,y) = (0.2535,-0.2925).

Covariance Matrix is

2x2 matrix is as follows

```
  | 0 | 1 |
-----
0 | 0  0
1 | 0  0
```

this turns out in the crash

```
#10 0xb2454dd5 in GFTools::invertMatrix (mat=..., inv=...)
   at /home/lavezzi/test_dev/trunk/genfit/GFTools.cxx:288
#11 0xb244b438 in GFKalman::chi2Increment (this=0x8ab4208, r=..., H=...,
   cov=..., V=...) at /home/lavezzi/test_dev/trunk/genfit/GFKalman.cxx:200
#12 0xb244c4b5 in GFKalman::processHit (this=0x8ab4208, tr=0x12bc0090, ihit=3,
   irep=0, direction=1)
   at /home/lavezzi/test_dev/trunk/genfit/GFKalman.cxx:313
#13 0xb244b27d in GFKalman::fittingPass (this=0x8ab4208, trk=0x12bc0090,
   direction=1) at /home/lavezzi/test_dev/trunk/genfit/GFKalman.cxx:169
#14 0xb244aacb in GFKalman::processTrack (this=0x8ab4208, trk=0x12bc0090)
   at /home/lavezzi/test_dev/trunk/genfit/GFKalman.cxx:77
#15 0xafb6c461 in PndRecoKalmanFit::Fit (this=0x8ab41d8, tBefore=0xfd188a0,
   PDG=13)
   at /home/lavezzi/test_dev/trunk/GenfitTools/recotasks/PndRecoKalmanFit.cxx:282
```

since at that point, in the invertMatrix function, there is $1./mat[0][0]$ and $mat[0][0]$ is equal to 0. I am using rev 12447.

Could you please fix this? Or is it fixed in the latest revision?

Thank you in advance,

Lia.

Subject: Re: MVD covariance matrix = 0.

Posted by [Tobias Stockmanns](#) on Mon, 04 Jul 2011 15:14:42 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dear Lia,

which simulation chain are you using which causes these crashes?

Ciao,

Tobias

Subject: Re: MVD covariance matrix = 0.
Posted by [Lia Lavezzi](#) on Mon, 04 Jul 2011 15:50:56 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hi Tobias,

I am using the macros from macro/pid: run_sim_sttcombi_pgun, run_digi_sttcombi.C, run_reco_sttcombi.C

I generate muons with momentum in the range [1, 1.5] GeV/c and theta in the range [21, 133] deg and I commented out all the detectors in digi and reco except for stt and mvd.

Lia.

Subject: Re: MVD covariance matrix = 0.
Posted by [Tobias Stockmanns](#) on Tue, 05 Jul 2011 09:07:37 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dear Lia,

I simulated 1000 events with the pid macros given by you without any problems. All covariance matrices have at least one value different from zero.

Can you please have a look at the Dx, Dy, Dz values for the MVDHitsPixel / MVDHitsStrips if there are any zeros. They are the basis for the calculation of the cov matrices.

How often does this crash happen?

Cheers,

Tobias

Subject: Re: MVD covariance matrix = 0.
Posted by [Lia Lavezzi](#) on Tue, 05 Jul 2011 09:50:45 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hi Tobias,

I checked the Dx, Dy, Dz and I have some of them equal to 0, exactly in the event where the crash happens, for example in one test I have from the cbmsim->Scan, looking for small dx,

dy, dz (below 1e-8):

```
*****
* Row * Instance * MVDHitsSt * MVDHitsSt * MVDHitsSt *
*****
* 110 * 0 * 0.0086602 * 0 * 0 *
* 220 * 0 * 0.0086602 * 7.178e-17 * 3.347e-17 *
* 361 * 6 * 0.0086602 * 0 * 0 *
* 876 * 0 * 1.952e-17 * 1.512e-17 * 0.0086602 *
*****
```

and the crash is exactly in event 110.

It happens quite often: I made some tests with 2000 muons each, at low momenta (around 0.2 GeV/c) and higher momenta (around 1 GeV/c) and on 7 tests, 3 crashed this way (2 crashed in the mvd riemann track finder, I will post the crash on the forum too).

I scanned the cbmsim also for the files where the riemann track finder crashed and also there I found errors equal to 0. I post here an example:

```
*****
* Row * Instance * MVDHitsSt * MVDHitsSt * MVDHitsSt *
*****
* 423 * 0 * 0.0086602 * 1.575e-16 * 3.061e-17 *
* 511 * 0 * 0.0086602 * 0 * 0 *
* 1243 * 0 * 0.0086602 * 0 * 0 *
* 1481 * 0 * 0.0086602 * 4.763e-17 * 4.442e-17 *
*****
```

I guess this means that if the mvdriemann didn't crash it could crash in genfit as in the other cases...

Moreover I looked also in the files where reconstruction went fine to the end and I have errors equal to 0 also there:

```
*****
* Row * Instance * MVDHitsSt * MVDHitsSt * MVDHitsSt *
*****
* 1317 * 7 * 0.0086602 * 1.335e-19 * 9.140e-20 *
* 1535 * 1 * 0.0086602 * 0 * 0 *
*****
```

My idea is that it did not crash here because this hit is not assigned to any track and so it does not enter in kalman calculation... I can check this if you think it is useful.

One more information: I get the same results on openSuse and Scientific Linux Cern.

Cheers,
Lia.

Subject: Re: MVD covariance matrix = 0.
Posted by [StefanoSpataro](#) on Tue, 05 Jul 2011 10:48:33 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Which trunk version?

There was a bug in mvd digitization that now should be fixed.
Could it be this the reason?

Subject: Re: MVD covariance matrix = 0.
Posted by [Lia Lavezzi](#) on Tue, 05 Jul 2011 12:38:16 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hi Stefano,

I am using an updated trunk, but for sds, mvd, PndTools and pnddata I am using revision 12447, the one chosen for the last grid release.

If all the problems are solved now, I can update everything and rerun the tests with the last version of trunk... what do you think?

Ciao,
Lia.

Subject: Re: MVD covariance matrix = 0.
Posted by [Lia Lavezzi](#) on Tue, 05 Jul 2011 13:03:46 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hi again,

I updated my whole trunk to the last revision and rerun digitization and reconstruction but I still get the crash

Lia.

Subject: Re: MVD covariance matrix = 0.
Posted by [Stefano Spataro](#) on Tue, 05 Jul 2011 13:36:03 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hi,

I think it is fine.

The other thing I could suggest is to use the may11 release, instead of the trunk. In reality there could be still some problems with the new base.

Subject: Re: MVD covariance matrix = 0.
Posted by [Tobias Stockmanns](#) on Tue, 05 Jul 2011 13:38:02 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Are you sure that this is the right topic or should your reply be part of "Number of entries in tree"?

Cheers,

Tobias

Subject: Re: MVD covariance matrix = 0.
Posted by [Tobias Stockmanns](#) on Tue, 05 Jul 2011 13:39:45 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dear Lia,

actually I do not know how to solve this problem. Maybe Ralf can have a look. These zeros are coming from the strip part of the MVD.

Cheers,

Tobias

Subject: Re: MVD covariance matrix = 0.
Posted by [Stefano Spataro](#) on Tue, 05 Jul 2011 14:18:12 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I was speaking about this topic.

Subject: Re: MVD covariance matrix = 0.
Posted by [Lia Lavezzi](#) on Wed, 06 Jul 2011 10:07:11 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hi,

I downloaded the may11 release and run the macros there, but I still get all the crashes. I thought that it might be something wrong in the macros (maybe I changed something and did not remember), so I took the macro/run/tdrct/eta_c macros for the stt and just changed the generator part to simulate single muon tracks instead of etac events, but I still get the crashes. I run six tests and only one arrived to the end without problems.

Ralf, can you please have a look into this, as Tobias suggested?

An alternative solution could be to put inside genfit some protection against the division by 0 in the invertMatrix function of GFTools.cxx, but the strip errors equal to 0 would be still there. What do genfit people think about this?

Cheers,
Lia.

Subject: Re: MVD covariance matrix = 0.
Posted by [Ralf Kliemt](#) on Wed, 06 Jul 2011 11:16:04 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Lia Lavezzi wrote on Wed, 06 July 2011 12:07
Ralf, can you please have a look into this, as Tobias suggested?

Hi,

I was already (silently) looking at the code. However I found no sign where that could happen, yet. Tomorrow I'll run some tests.

Ralf

PS: Could you please post that scan command you used?

Subject: Re: MVD covariance matrix = 0.
Posted by [Lia Lavezzi](#) on Wed, 06 Jul 2011 11:30:13 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hi Ralf,
thank you very much!

Here is the command:
cbmsim.Scan("MVDHitsStrip.fDx:MVDHitsStrip.fDy:MVDHitsStrip.fDz", "MVDHitsStrip.fDx < 1e-8 || MVDHitsStrip.fDy < 1e-8 || MVDHitsStrip.fDz < 1e-8")

Cheers,
Lia.

Subject: Re: MVD covariance matrix = 0.
Posted by [Ralf Kliemt](#) on Mon, 11 Jul 2011 12:10:31 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hi Lia,

The issue should be fixed now.
Ralf

Subject: Re: MVD covariance matrix = 0.
Posted by [Lia Lavezzi](#) on Mon, 11 Jul 2011 17:13:44 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hi Ralf,
I run some tests on the files previously crashing and I can confirm that the problem is no longer there.

Thank you very much!
Lia.

Subject: Re: MVD covariance matrix = 0.
Posted by [Stefano Spataro](#) on Mon, 11 Jul 2011 17:27:07 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Trying to understand,
does it mean that now , with the latest tunk, the code stt+mvd tracking gives the same results
as before, w/o crashes? because in may11 we are still using the old version before the time
stamps upgrade.

Subject: Re: MVD covariance matrix = 0.
Posted by [Lia Lavezzi](#) on Tue, 12 Jul 2011 08:49:52 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hi Stefano,
I did not check the results yet, just checked that the crash was gone... now I can run a couple
of tests at different momenta and compare them to our previous results to confirm that
everything is fine.

Ciao,
Lia.

Subject: Re: MVD covariance matrix = 0.
Posted by [Lia Lavezzi](#) on Wed, 13 Jul 2011 09:46:58 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

After the tests I confirm that the momentum resolution are still good after the last changes.
Lia.
