
Subject: Bug in PndEmcHitProducer

Posted by [Vanniarajan Suyam Jothi](#) on Wed, 14 Apr 2010 09:00:41 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hi,

There was a probable problem in the PndEmcHitProducer observed.

The Energy deposited in the crystal from the PndEmcHit is fractionally more than the energy sum from the PndEmcPoint in the crystal.

Mohammad Babai has found that there is a problem in the following part of the PndEmcHitProducer::Exec() method. the data entries of two maps fTrackEnergy and fTrackTime are accessed un-initialised. Now He has fixed the PndEmcHitProducer.

```
-----
for (Int_t iPoint=0; iPoint<nPoints; iPoint++)
{
    point = (PndEmcPoint*) fPointArray->At(iPoint);
    fTrackEnergy[point->GetDetectorID()] += point->GetEnergyLoss();
    point_time=point ->GetTime();
    if (point_time<fTrackTime[point->GetDetectorID()]) fTrackTime[point->GetDetectorID()]
=point_time;

    // Check and save MC truth information
    // Eloss==0 tracks are only stored in point, if track is entering detector from outside
    // and thats what we are interested in...
    if(point->GetEnergyLoss()==0){
        fTrackMcTruth[point->GetDetectorID()].push_back(point->GetTrackID());
// cout << "Eloss==0 : ID " <<
point->GetTrackID()<<","<<point->GetDetectorID()<<","<<point->GetXPad()
<<","<<point->GetYPad()<<endl;
    }
}
```

Regards,
Vanni

Subject: Re: Bug in PndEmcHitProducer

Posted by [M.Babai](#) on Wed, 14 Apr 2010 09:40:14 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hi!,

This problem is now solved and the corrected code is available in trunk.

In the original code the following was declared and used without proper initialization:

```

map<Int_t, Float_t> fTrackEnergy;
map<Int_t, Float_t> fTrackTime; //time of first point
map<Int_t, std::vector<Int_t>> fTrackMcTruth; //McTruth
fTrackEnergy.clear();
fTrackTime.clear();
fTrackMcTruth.clear();
.....
.....
point = (PndEmcPoint*) fPointArray->At(iPoint);
fTrackEnergy[point->GetDetectorID()] += point->GetEnergyLoss();
point_time=point->GetTime();
if (point_time < fTrackTime[point->GetDetectorID()])
    fTrackTime[point->GetDetectorID()] = point_time;

```

In the lines above we can see a comparison and a "+" operation on not initialized member of the map which has(might have) an undefined state.

Another point, which is (in this case) a matter of taste and beauty, is the declaration of:

```
PndEmcPoint* point = NULL;
```

```
map<Int_t, Float_t>::const_iterator p;
```

outside the loop. In this case they are not leading into wrong computations but potentially they can, as their values are changed inside the loop.

Greetings,
/M

Subject: Re: Bug in PndEmcHitProducer
 Posted by [Elwin Dijck](#) on Wed, 14 Apr 2010 18:01:23 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hi all,

I don't think there was any problem with undefined values: if you try to access an element in a `std::map` that is not in the map using the `[]`-operator, that element will be added with its default value. The default 'constructor' `float()` is not undefined and will simply give 0.0, so the usage of `fTrackEnergy` with `+=` will work properly without explicit initialization.

However it is indeed true that `fTrackTime` needs initialization here, in the old code the result would always be the default value of zero since `point_time` is never `< 0`.

Regards,
Elwin

Subject: Re: Bug in PndEmcHitProducer
 Posted by [Johan Messchendorp](#) on Wed, 14 Apr 2010 20:05:43 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hi,

I tend to agree with Elwin. I did some tests with a simple program using a map simulating the code and indeed a first time call of the []-operator gives on all tested platforms nicely gives a zero. So, I also think that this cannot be a problem. The time issue is more nasty, but then again, if you don't use the time information in the analysis, it should not be a problem. So, honestly speaking, I cannot understand why the energy deposited using the PndEmcHitProducer should differ from looping over the points manually. The problem must be hidden somewhere else.

Mohammad A. pointed out to me another potential problem in the PndEmcHitProducer code related to the filling of the container of the hits:

```
Quote:// ----- -
// ---- Private method AddDigi -----
PndEmcHit* PndEmcHitProducer::AddHit(Int_t trackID, Int_t detID, Float_t energy,
                                     Float_t time, std::vector<Int_t> &mctruth)
{
    // It fills the PndEmcHit category

    //cout << "PndEmcHitProducer: track " << trackID << " evt " << eventID
    //<< " sec " << sec << " plane " << pla << " strip " << strip << "box
    //" << box << " tube " << tub << endl;
    TClonesArray& clref = *fDigiArray;
    Int_t size = clref.GetEntriesFast();
    return new(clref[size]) PndEmcHit(trackID, detID, energy, time, emcX[detID],
                                     emcY[detID], emcZ[detID], mctruth);
}
// ----
```

Note that the TClonesArray is filled with an object which can have a variable vector size: mctruth! I don't know the details of TClonesArrays, but can one fill it with a dynamic array containing a std::vector<Int_t> ??? I guess that this mctruth propagation is also obsolete with the new code of Tobias. So, maybe we should remove it anyway...

Best wishes,

Johan.
