

---

Subject: memory leaks

Posted by [Anonymous Poster](#) on Wed, 09 Sep 2009 12:22:44 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Hi everybody,

I found in a few tasks that people do a Clear() call at the beginning of their tasks' Exec() methods on their output TClonesArray. Please be aware that this is a memory leak. You should replace the Clear() call with a Delete() call.

I didnt use the ticket system because this could concern many developers.

Cheers, Christian

---

---

Subject: Re: memory leaks

Posted by [Ralf Kliemt](#) on Wed, 09 Sep 2009 12:54:57 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Thanks Christian,

The correct usage of Clear() and Delete() of the TClonesArray class can be found in the root documentation: <http://root.cern.ch/root/html524/TClonesArray.html>

I found about 120 occurances on a quick check.

Kind Regards, Ralf.

---

---

Subject: Re: memory leaks

Posted by [Anonymous Poster](#) on Wed, 09 Sep 2009 13:01:09 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Hi Ralf,

so, what do you think. Is Clear() in this context a memory leak? I wasnt sure immediately what the ROOT daco was telling me. This fix was something I remembered from a long time ago....

Cheers, Christian

---

---

Subject: Re: memory leaks

Posted by [StefanoSpataro](#) on Wed, 09 Sep 2009 14:02:04 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Here a summary cut&paste:

Clear():

Clear the clones array. Only use this routine when your objects don't allocate memory since it will not call the object dtors.

Delete():

Clear the clones array. Use this routine when your objects allocate memory (e.g. objects inheriting from TNamed or containing TString) allocate memory).

If not you better use Clear() since it is faster.

As far as I have understood, the usage of clear or delete depends on the data members of the object itself

---

---

Subject: Re: memory leaks

Posted by [Anonymous Poster](#) on Wed, 09 Sep 2009 14:05:10 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Hi,

all the classes concerned in PandaROOT allocate lots of memory, so we'd have to use Delete().

Cheers, Christian

---

---

Subject: Re: memory leaks

Posted by [Stefano Spataro](#) on Wed, 09 Sep 2009 15:09:20 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I am not so sure, i.e. FairHit contains only numbers and does not allocate memory to create objects.

In theory, if I have understood well, for this reason all the FairHit objects could be "cleared".

Another thing, for objects which have strings or objects, one should implement the correct "Clear" function.

I remember that using Delete instead of Clear the reconstruction becomes very slow. Have you seen particular effects changing Clear to Delete?

---

---

Subject: Re: memory leaks

Posted by [Elwin Dijck](#) on Mon, 14 Sep 2009 12:07:22 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Whether using Clear() instead of Delete() is a memory leak indeed depends on the type of class in the TClonesArray. I had some problems with TClonesArrays earlier (see this thread); this is what I think that the different calls do, please correct me if I'm wrong:

1. Clear()

Marks the memory occupied by the objects in the TClonesArray as available, without running destructors and without actually deallocating anything. The memory will be reused for new objects put into the array, which will overwrite the remains of the old objects.

2. Clear("C")

Same as previous, but will call the function `Clear()` on each of the objects in the array before marking the memory as available (note that `TObject` has an implementation of `Clear()` that does nothing, which should then be overloaded).

### 3. `Delete()`

Will run the destructor for each of the objects in the array, still without actually freeing the memory of the objects themselves and then does the same as 1. This happens in a kind of weird way and is supposed to be slow (probably only matters for large arrays though, not sure).

However, calling `Delete()` is needed for classes containing for instance `TStrings` as data members, to make sure the internal (dynamically allocated) storage of the strings is deallocated.

I think this would mean the following:

Because `FairHit` doesn't dynamically allocate anything, indeed just using `Clear()` will be ok.

Classes that do dynamically allocate objects should have a proper `Clear()` function to be able to use `Clear("C")` on the `TClonesArray`, otherwise `Delete()` is needed to prevent memory leaks.

For classes that contain (not dynamically allocated) strings or other objects like containers, that themselves dynamically allocate memory, `Delete()` is needed. I don't think it would be possible to prevent memory leaks by implementing some `Clear()` function in these cases (unless all these objects have a function that makes them deallocate their internal storage, but for `TString` and STL classes, only destructors do that I think, since it would typically bring the objects into an invalid state).

Using `Delete()` is the safest thing to do but also the slowest, so it might indeed be useful to check when it actually matters.

When just using `TClonesArrays` while reading from a `TTree` using `GetEntry()`, there is normally no need to do any `Clear()` or `Delete()` as `ROOT` will free memory automatically, though it might still be useful when there are transient data members involved.

Best regards,  
Elwin Dijck

---