

---

Subject: TrackCand dip treatment where Theta > 90°  
Posted by [Marius Mertens](#) on Tue, 28 Apr 2009 12:10:24 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Hi all,

since the `_dip` member of a TrackCand usually stores the cosine of the dip angle relative to the xy-plane, this parameter is only unambiguous for tracks where Theta relative to the z-axis is less than 90°.

I.e., using only the information from the TrackCand, I can't get the correct parameters of tracks which have a Theta > 90°. How can I properly treat such tracks?

Best regards,

Marius

---

---

Subject: Re: TrackCand dip treatment where Theta > 90°  
Posted by [Sebastian Neubert](#) on Tue, 28 Apr 2009 12:24:30 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Hi Marius!

TrackCand is actually NOT supposed to carry the starting values of the fit. At least there is no guarantee that the values that are put there are meaningful.

We still have to design a good interface to carry the fit starting values.

TrackCand acquired the field for dip angle, momentum and so on as a quick and dirty solution.

You are completely right though that there is a fundamental ambiguity in the definition of the dip angle, which arises from the (unknown) direction of motion of the particle. You cannot distinguish a particle that is going into forward direction towards the center of the system (a secondary) from a track that moves from the interaction point outwards but in backward direction.

This ambiguity is not solved at the moment.

Cheers! Sebastian.

---

---

Subject: Re: TrackCand dip treatment where Theta > 90°  
Posted by [Marius Mertens](#) on Tue, 28 Apr 2009 12:51:07 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Hi Sebastian,

thank you for the information on that matter.

Since you mentioned the final interface is not yet fixed, it would be nice if it included a way to store the actual angle instead of its cosine in order to keep potential sign information.

Best regards,

Marius

---

---

Subject: Re: TrackCand dip treatment where Theta > 90°  
Posted by [Anonymous Poster](#) on Tue, 28 Apr 2009 13:05:45 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Hi,

is the dip angle really measured against the x-y plane? In fact the polar angle is defined against the beam axis. This should be the same...

Christian

---

---

Subject: Re: TrackCand dip treatment where Theta > 90°  
Posted by [Marius Mertens](#) on Tue, 28 Apr 2009 13:37:54 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Hi Christian,

dip angle (as used by the Riemann track finder) and polar angle (Theta, as used by PndFlatGen and probably other event generators) as they are implemented at the moment, are not the same.

Currently, `_dip` stores the cosine of the angle between track and xy-plane. Due to this operation the sign is lost (one can argue if "lost" is strictly correct as Sebastian already pointed out that the actual direction of a track is ambiguous unless additional information is present), but I assume its range should be  $-90^\circ..90^\circ$  in order to cover the full polar angle range of  $\text{Theta} = 180^\circ..0^\circ$ .

Best regards,

Marius

---

---

Subject: Re: TrackCand dip treatment where Theta > 90°  
Posted by [Stefano Spataro](#) on Tue, 28 Apr 2009 13:39:42 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Hi,

this is the reason why in PndLheTrack I have decidet to calculate theta.

---