

---

Subject: Problems with run\_sim1.C && gcc < 4.x ?  
Posted by [Ralf Kliemt](#) on Tue, 29 Jul 2008 09:05:50 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Hi everyone,

In the last week there is the run\_sim1.C Macro not running on the dashboard. It looks like this is for one Dresden machine and for a GSI computer. Both have a gcc version smaller then 4.

However if I look to the logfiles (below) I don't see an explicit error...

I'm lost on this part, especially since running the pandaroot/macro/run/run\_sim\* scripts by hand shows everything to be ok.

Kind greetings from Dresden, Ralf.

### File Attachments

---

- 1) [LastTest\\_20080729-0400.log](#), downloaded 555 times
  - 2) [LastTestsFailed\\_20080729-0400.log](#), downloaded 562 times
  - 3) [LastUpdate\\_20080729-0400.log](#), downloaded 558 times
  - 4) [LastBuild\\_20080729-0400.log](#), downloaded 569 times
  - 5) [LastConfigure\\_20080729-0400.log](#), downloaded 564 times
  - 6) [LastSubmit\\_20080729-0400.log](#), downloaded 580 times
- 

---

Subject: Re: Problems with run\_sim1.C && gcc < 4.x ?  
Posted by [Florian Uhlig](#) on Wed, 30 Jul 2008 06:48:03 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Hi Ralf

I have seen this, too, but i could not find the reason up to now.  
I will have a closer look.  
Have you seen the feature Continuous build on the Dashboard?

Ciao

Florian

---

---

Subject: Re: Problems with run\_sim1.C && gcc < 4.x ?  
Posted by [Stefano Spataro](#) on Wed, 30 Jul 2008 07:21:08 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Is it possible that the problem comes from the final exit(0)?

In Torino I have found that in some machine (a few) the exit function could give problems, something like the memory is not dumped correctly in the file. So the analysis goes fine, but when one tries to use some reconstruction macro...they fail. I have found that with the normal exit ".q" it does not produce the same error.

I found this in only one machine, maybe related to its memory, however I am wondering if one could launch in the dashboard something like a root -q instead of using this "brute force" exiting.

I am just trying to guess...no idea on what went wrong in this case.

---