
Subject: box generator
Posted by [asanchez](#) on Wed, 16 May 2007 15:24:22 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

hi I want to use the box generator
to simulate one uniforme momentum distribution
my detector is at a Z-distance -76.5 cm
from the center of Panda.

I do the following selection

```
boxGen->SetPRange(.1,1.); // GeV/c
// boxGen->SetPtRange(1.,1.); // GeV/c
boxGen->SetPhiRange(0., 360.); // Azimuth angle range [degree]
boxGen->SetThetaRange(0., 180.); // Polar angle in lab system range [degree]
boxGen->SetXYZ(0.,10., -760.); // vertex coordinates [mm]
primGen->AddGenerator(boxGen);
```

but I get any hit in the detector.
is it something wrong with
the parameters.
thanks a lot
alicia

Subject: Re: box generator
Posted by [StefanoSpataro](#) on Mon, 21 May 2007 06:25:43 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hi Alicia,
I have the feeling that the position should be expressed in cm, and not in mm (yes I know,
even in my Frascati presentation it was written wrong).
Try to take out one order of magnitude and see if it will work after.

Subject: Re: box generator
Posted by [Pablo Genova](#) on Mon, 21 May 2007 07:54:08 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hi Stefano and Alicia,

one warning on the box generator: is it really uniform in theta? As Kasha and Felice Iazzi
noticed the p components are generated uniformly in phi and in theta,
not uniformly in phi and cos(theta).

Do you agree that there is something strange?

ciao, Pablo

The code is the following from CmbBoxGenerator.cxx:

```
// Generate particles
for (Int_t k = 0; k < fMult; k++) {
  phi = gRandom->Uniform(fPhiMin,fPhiMax) * TMath::DegToRad();

  if (fPRangelsSet ) pabs = gRandom->Uniform(fPMin,fPMax);
  else if (fPtRangelsSet) pt = gRandom->Uniform(fPtMin,fPtMax);

  if (fThetaRangelsSet) {
    theta = gRandom->Uniform(fThetaMin,fThetaMax) * TMath::DegToRad();
  }
  else if (fEtaRangelsSet) {
    eta = gRandom->Uniform(fEtaMin,fEtaMax);
    theta = 2*TMath::ATan(TMath::Exp(-eta));
  }
  else if (fYRangelsSet) {
    y = gRandom->Uniform(fYMin,fYMax);
    mt = TMath::Sqrt(fPDGMass*fPDGMass + pt*pt);
    pz = mt * TMath::SinH(y);
  }

  if (fThetaRangelsSet || fEtaRangelsSet) {
    if (fPRangelsSet ) {
      pz = pabs*TMath::Cos(theta);
      pt = pabs*TMath::Sin(theta);
    }
    else if (fPtRangelsSet)
      pz = pt/TMath::Tan(theta);
  }

  px = pt*TMath::Cos(phi);
  py = pt*TMath::Sin(phi);

  if (fBoxVtxIsSet) {
    fX = gRandom->Uniform(fX1,fX2);
    fY = gRandom->Uniform(fY1,fY2);
  }
}
```

Subject: Re: box generator

Posted by [Stefano Spataro](#) on Mon, 21 May 2007 08:05:13 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hello,

the aim of the "uniform theta distribution" function is to have a uniform distribution in theta (which means same number of counts per each theta bin), not in $\cos(\theta)$.

I mean, the uniform distribution in $\cos(\theta)$ is another different thing, that probably can be added under request if needed.

So I think there is nothing strange.

Subject: Re: box generator

Posted by [Pablo Genova](#) on Mon, 21 May 2007 08:30:30 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hi Stefano,

so we misunderstood the aim of the box generator.

The box generator is not a generator which generates uniformly on the solid angle, but it generates uniformly on theta, phi angles.

I mean, if one wants to generate isotropically in 3D with a fixed total momentum, or fixed transverse momentum, which is a common request, one has to generate uniformly on $\cos\theta$ and ϕ and the cbmbox generator is NOT correct for this.

I thought it were!

I think it is really useful to have a 3d uniform generator not to be confused with the present box generator.

I do not understand completely the need for uniform generation on theta and phi variables, but this is not important.

ciao, Pablo

Subject: Re: box generator

Posted by [Stefano Spataro](#) on Mon, 21 May 2007 14:32:37 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Well,

it could be possible to add a function `SetCosThetaRange()` (or something similar), in order to have what you ask without adding a new generator.

Subject: Re: box generator

Posted by [Pablo Genova](#) on Tue, 22 May 2007 07:14:20 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Yes for me it's OK.

It could be useful, in order to prevent errors, to explain in the comments that, for uniform generation on the solid angle, one has to call `setphirange` and `setcosthetarange` and not `settheta`.

Maybe also a function `setuniform()` which automatically sets the whole (phi, theta) range and

generates uniformly on the solid angle could be useful.

ciao, Pablo

Subject: Re: box generator

Posted by [Katarzyna Szymanska](#) on Tue, 22 May 2007 11:01:40 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hi Stefano,

Few remarks concerning the direction generation:

1) the possible distributions of the (physically meaningful) directions are infinite: the uniform direction is the simplest, corresponds to the s-wave in the two-body kinematics and is mostly used to evaluate the relative acceptances and efficiencies at different solid angles

2) therefore, we think that the collaboration needs such a distribution which is generated by $-1 < \cos(\theta) < +1$ and $0 < \phi < 360$, both uniform.

3) nevertheless, if someone uses the "uniform theta" distribution, which should peak the directions forward, one has to remember that the relative and absolute acceptances, efficiencies must be suitably normalized.

4) in any case, it will be useful to comment clearly the "uniform theta" distribution and its physical meaning in terms of directions.

Regards,

Felice and Kasia

Subject: Re: box generator

Posted by [Pablo Genova](#) on Thu, 24 May 2007 17:43:18 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hi Stefano and generators' people,

triggered also by Katarzyna and Felice, I checked the two generators, the former CbmBoxGenerator and the PndBoxGenerator with option SetCosTheta(), which Stefano wrote recently.

As they suggested, I used a detector consisting of a sphere, everything in vacuum.

You can see the results in the attached plots

1. box_NON_uniform.jpg : with uniform theta.
2. box_uniform.jpg : with uniform cos theta.

As expected the first plot shows enhanced momenta in region $x=0$ & $y=0$, i. e. along the zed axis, and only using the SetCosTheta option you get the truly uniform generation.

So everything is OK, but I would strongly suggest to put a comment a like: "if you want to generate uniformly in the solid angle use the SetCosTheta() function" or even call that function SetUniform3D() , to avoid errors or misunderstandings.

Maybe even in the macros it is better to default to uniform distributions, with suitable comment to clear this to the user.

(I went into the mistake of supposing uniform what uniform was not!)


ciao, Pablo

ps: this forum is wonderful!

File Attachments

1) [box_NON_uniform.jpg](#), downloaded 664 times

HypPoint.fPx:HypPoint.fPy:HypPoint.fPz



2) [box_uniform.jpg](#), downloaded 739 times

HypPoint.fPx:HypPoint.fPy:HypPoint.fPz

