## Subject: EMC energy and position correction Posted by donghee on Thu, 17 Jul 2014 21:56:49 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hi all experts,

I am interested in the way of EMC correction.

I have learn that GetEnergyCorrected() of the PndEmcCluster is obsolete and in principle should be removed.

Instead of above method, corrected energy can be accessed by PndEmcClusterCalibrator class via fEmcCalibrator->Energy(cluster).

It looks like following way.

Quote:

PndEmcAbsClusterCalibrator \* calibrator1=

PndEmcClusterCalibrator::MakeEmcClusterCalibrator(1, version);

. . .

PndEmcCluster \*cluster=(PndEmcCluster\*)cluster\_array->At(i);

Double\_t energy\_corr1 = calibrator1->Energy(cluster);

What is the difference between old method GetEnergyCorrected() and new method suggested above?

New method for EMC calibration can be only used, if we are working on the EMC cluster. In the pure analysis level, we have only neutral candidate thus we don't have a chance to calibrate them.

However, at analysis level neutral candidate has an information of EMC calibrated energy, which can be accessed by GetEmcCalEnergy().

What is this correctly? Is it created with new methods? or still from old method using GetEnergyCorrected().

Best wishes, Donghee

Subject: Re: EMC energy and position correction Posted by StefanoSpataro on Thu, 17 Jul 2014 22:31:44 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

In the neutral candidate the energy is already calibrated, using the correct PndEmcClusterCalibrator.

Subject: Re: EMC energy and position correction Posted by donghee on Fri, 18 Jul 2014 09:57:38 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hi Stefano.

The cluster calibrator has two different method first one is with histogram and other one is based on the parametrization.

In our standard calibration for neutral candidtae in PID correlator is the histogram method. ( I checked in PndPidCorrelator)

And geometry version is set to 3 during EMC calibration.

But in PndEmcClusterCalibrator I found only version 1.

Quote:

// Versions of the correction:

// 1 -

"emc\_module12.dat","emc\_module3\_2011\_new.root","emc\_module4\_StraightGeo24.4.root ","emc\_module5\_fsc.root" (+ full PANDA geometry) TGeant3

What is the geometry configuration with verstion=3?

Best wishes, Donghee

Subject: Re: EMC energy and position correction Posted by donghee on Fri, 18 Jul 2014 10:05:14 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hi Stefano,

I found the meaning of veresion.

That is related correction parameters in macro/param/

emc\_correction\_hist\_gamma\_1.root emc\_correction\_hist\_gamma\_2.root emc\_correction\_hist\_gamma\_3.root emc\_correction\_hist\_gamma\_4.root

So one more question is what is the difference between them?

I assume that most lilkely version 4 is the latest calibration file.

Best wishes, Donghee

Subject: Re: EMC energy and position correction

## Posted by Dima Melnychuk on Fri, 18 Jul 2014 10:44:10 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hi Donghee,

In the post

https://forum.gsi.de/index.php?t=tree&th=4030&goto=15671&#ms g\_15671

the versions are explained.

Dima

Subject: Re: EMC energy and position correction Posted by StefanoSpataro on Fri, 18 Jul 2014 11:03:40 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hi Dima,

currently in the pid correlator we are using:

fEmcCalibrator= PndEmcClusterCalibrator::MakeEmcClusterCalibrator(1, 3); Should it be corrected to 2,3?

Subject: Re: EMC energy and position correction Posted by Dima Melnychuk on Fri, 18 Jul 2014 11:49:10 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hi Stefano,

fEmcCalibrator= PndEmcClusterCalibrator::MakeEmcClusterCalibrator(1, 3);

is proper version

2,3 appeared to be buggy.

Dima

Subject: Re: EMC energy and position correction Posted by StefanoSpataro on Fri, 18 Jul 2014 11:57:33 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Thanks, in the old post you were suggesting to use 2,3. Just to be sure.