

---

Subject: [CLOSED] DPM-Direct doesn't have Coulomb el.scattering part  
Posted by [Anastasia Karavdina](#) on Sat, 07 Sep 2013 15:55:31 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Dear all,

I would like to use PndDpmDirect for my studies with DPM. Such studies I did many times before with so called DPM stand-alone.

As far as I understand PndDpmDirect is just interface to DPM generator, which runs PndDpmGenerator+FairPrimaryGenerator.

So generated results, if I run compiled executable, or if I run task with PndDpmDirect, shouldn't differ in terms of distributions of true (MC) variables.

But they have significant and very important difference, especially for the Luminosity Detector. Basically, with current configuration, Coulomb part for elastic scattering is not simulated with PndDpmDirect, even if theta\_min is set to very low value!

Please, find attached two plots with theta distribution of simulated tracks and note the difference between them close to 0 and 1.5 rad (in both cases Pbeam=1.5 GeV/c, number of simulated events=1e4, theta\_min=0.1 degree).

Well, my standalone version is executable which I have to compile by hand. To do so one need to copy attached GNUmakefile in \$PANDArOOT/pgenerators/DpmEvtGen

Then in this directory type make and to resolve error

pgenerators/DpmEvtGen/main.cc:246: undefined reference to `dpm\_gen\_\_'  
change dpm\_gen\_\_ to dpm\_gen\_ (x2 times in this main.cc file)

Afterwards executable created in \$PANDArOOT/pgenerators/DpmEvtGen

As you can see only one change here is dpm\_gen\_\_ "correction". I don't know why it's needed for standalone compilation and isn't needed for common compilation together with other packages in pandaroot. But as far as I remember this dpm\_gen\_\_ to dpm\_gen\_ was an annoying issue while ago.

Anyway all other lines/files in DPM stay exactly the same as in repository.

Any ideas why PndDpmDirect just ignore Coulomb part? Also it would be nice if somebody else could cross-check DPM spectrum to confirm problem which I describe above.

Cheers,  
Anastasia.

---

### File Attachments

- 1) [thetaMC\\_direct.pdf](#), downloaded 414 times
  - 2) [thetaMC\\_standalone.pdf](#), downloaded 416 times
  - 3) [GNUmakefile](#), downloaded 376 times
- 

---

Subject: Re: DPM-Direct doesn't have Coulomb el.scattering part  
Posted by [Stefano Spataro](#) on Sat, 07 Sep 2013 16:01:02 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Which flag are you using for the dpmdirect, and also for the dpm standalone?

And how are you plotting the variables? From MCTrack in both the cases or directly from the generator in case of standalone?

---

---

Subject: Re: DPM-Direct doesn't have Coulomb el.scattering part  
Posted by [Anastasia Karavdina](#) on Sat, 07 Sep 2013 16:04:40 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

If you mean the mode it is 1=el.+inel.

For 2 attached plots I took files produced directly in generator. But I checked that spectrum with DMPDirect is similar to output from \$PANDARoot/build/bin/./DPMgen executable compiled within standard pandaroot compilation: there is very small amount of particles flying in range of LMD.

---

---

Subject: Re: [SOLVED] DPM-Direct doesn't have Coulomb el.scattering part  
Posted by [Anastasia Karavdina](#) on Wed, 23 Oct 2013 16:05:04 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Hi!  
With fresh pandaroot installation I can't confirm my problem in September.  
Maybe the problem was caused on my side by mixing of main.cc files

Anyway, sorry for the trouble!

Maybe to avoid father confusion it would be nice uncomment some printing lines in DPM. For me personally the most useful are inelastic and calculated elastic total cross-section in mb (in attached file lines 328 and 329)

Best regards,  
Anastasia.

---

#### File Attachments

1) [init.f](#), downloaded 334 times

---

---

Subject: Re: [SOLVED] DPM-Direct doesn't have Coulomb el.scattering part  
Posted by [Stefano Spataro](#) on Thu, 24 Oct 2013 13:38:27 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Well, one problem less

---