Subject: MVD geometry overlaps Posted by StefanoSpataro on Wed, 24 Jul 2013 11:12:49 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Dear all,

triggered by some forum discussion, I checked the MVD geometry searching for overlaps. This is what I obtained:

gGeoManager->CheckGeometryFull()

\_\_\_\_\_\_

STAGE 1: Overlap checking by sampling within 10 microns

\_\_\_\_\_

Info in <TGeoNodeMatrix::CheckOverlaps>: Checking overlaps for cave and daughters within 0.001

Info in <TGeoNodeMatrix::CheckOverlaps>: Checking overlaps by sampling <s> for cave and daughters

Info in <TGeoNodeMatrix::CheckOverlaps>: === NOTE: Extrusions NOT checked with sampling option ! ===

Info in <TGeoChecker::CheckOverlapsBySampling>: #Found 4 overlaps adding-up to 19.236 +/- 1.83408 [cm3] for daughters of Mvd-2.1o(Central-Mvd)

Info in <TGeoChecker::CheckOverlapsBySampling>: #Found 1 overlaps adding-up to 176.101 +/- 5.36354 [cm3] for daughters of Mvd-2.1oSupport

Info in <TGeoChecker::CheckOverlapsBySampling>: #Found 1 overlaps adding-up to 10213.2 +/- 34.7226 [cm3] for daughters of Mvd-SupportoGlobalFwd

Info in <TGeoChecker::CheckOverlapsBySampling>: #Found 1 overlaps adding-up to 498.788 +/- 4.18235 [cm3] for daughters of Mvd-2.1oComponents

Info in <TGeoChecker::CheckOverlapsBySampling>: #Found 1 overlaps adding-up to 818.668 +/- 4.80788 [cm3] for daughters of Mvd-ComponentsoConoElectronics

Error in <TGeoChecker::CheckOverlapsBySampling>: No point inside volume!!! - aborting Error in <TGeoChecker::CheckOverlapsBySampling>: No point inside volume!!! - aborting Error in <TGeoChecker::CheckOverlapsBySampling>: No point inside volume!!! - aborting Info in <TGeoChecker::CheckOverlapsBySampling>: #Found 1 overlaps adding-up to 367.446

+/- 3.13564 [cm3] for daughters of Mvd-ComponentsoSmd

Error in <TGeoChecker::CheckOverlapsBySampling>: No point inside volume!!! - aborting Error in <TGeoChecker::CheckOverlapsBySampling>: No point inside volume!!! - aborting Info in <TGeoChecker::CheckOverlapsBySampling>: #Found 1 overlaps adding-up to 6.65665 +/- 0.932117 [cm3] for daughters of Mvd-2.1oRouting

Info in <TGeoChecker::CheckOverlapsBySampling>: #Found 1 overlaps adding-up to 0.0399197 +/- 0.0399197 [cm3] for daughters of Mvd-RoutingoBl1

Error in <TGeoChecker::CheckOverlapsBySampling>: No point inside volume!!! - aborting Error in <TGeoChecker::CheckOverlapsBySampling>: No point inside volume!!! - aborting Info in <TGeoChecker::CheckOverlapsBySampling>: #Found 1 overlaps adding-up to 0.00015873 +/- 9.16426e-05 [cm3] for daughters of CableoInsulation-Feo3oIIoBundle Info in <TGeoChecker::CheckOverlapsBySampling>: #Found 3 overlaps adding-up to 9.5286e-05 +/- 4.7643e-05 [cm3] for daughters of CoolingoInsulationo3oIIoBundle Error in <TGeoChecker::CheckOverlapsBySampling>: No point inside volume!!! - aborting Info in <TGeoChecker::CheckOverlapsBySampling>: No point inside volume!!! - aborting Info in <TGeoChecker::CheckOverlapsBySampling>: #Found 1 overlaps adding-up to 274.958 +/- 5.70728 [cm3] for daughters of Mvd-RoutingoPfwd

Info in <TGeoChecker::CheckOverlapsBySampling>: #Found 1 overlaps adding-up to 0.110866 +/- 0.110866 [cm3] for daughters of Mvd-RoutingoSfwd

Error in <TGeoChecker::CheckOverlapsBySampling>: No point inside volume!!! - aborting Check overlaps: [======] 111257 [100.00 %] TIME 22:49:41 STAGE 2: Global overlap/extrusion checking within 10 microns

Info in <TGeoNodeMatrix::CheckOverlaps>: Checking overlaps for cave and daughters within 0.001

Check overlaps: [======] 111257 [100.00 %] TIME 05:15:14 Info in <TGeoNodeMatrix::CheckOverlaps>: Number of illegal overlaps/extrusions : 270

STAGE 3: Propagating 1000000 tracks starting from vertex and conting number of boundary crossings...

\_\_\_\_\_

Error in trying to cross boundary of cave\_1 98.99 %] TIME 00:50:20

Transporting tracks [=====] 1000000 [100.00 %] TIME 00:50:50

Time for crossing 57613394 boundaries: 3.05043e+09 [ms]

Time per track for full geometry traversal: 3050.43 [ms], per crossing: 52.9465 [ms]

I supposed the MVD default geometry (Mvd-2.1\_FullVersion.root) should be overlap-free, but this is not the case.

Are these overlaps understood and negligible, or maybe there is some better geometry to use?