Subject: some options for eta_c analysis
Posted by Dima Melnychuk on Tue, 11 Oct 2011 12:55:01 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hi,

I have tried several options in eta_c reconstruction as its was suggested by Stefano and here are the results.

I used for analysis data on grid run 925oldnocu (release july11).

1. Instead of selecting best eta_c candidate after vertex fit (using its chi2) I tried a preselection of best candidate using chi2 defined as (totalreco_mass-etac_mass)^2/sigma(eta_c)^2+(phi1reco_mass-phimass)^2/sigma(phi)+(phi2reco_mass-phimass)^2/sigma(phi).

And here there is a small improvement in efficiency and eta_c resolution and no improvement in phi mass resolution (efficiency 24.0%, sigma(eta_c)=32.8 MeV, sigma(phi)= 3.92 MeV).

For comparison with selection of best candidate after vertex fit (efficiency 22.8%, sigma(eta_c)=33.2 MeV, sigma(phi)= 3.92 MeV)


2. I also tried to perform a mass fitter for phi mass and here is the eta_c mass resolution after combining 2 phi after this fitter (PndKinFitter).

Here the best candidate is selected by difference between reconstructed and nominal eta_c mass and resolution is 34.7 MeV, i.e worse than with vertex fit. So I would exclude this option in eta_c analysis.


Dima

File Attachments


1) m_vertex_fit_preselection.png, downloaded 903 times



2) m_vertex_fit.png, downloaded 910 times



3) m_mass_fit.png, downloaded 852 times



Subject: Re: some options for eta_c analysis
Posted by StefanoSpataro on Tue, 11 Oct 2011 13:28:28 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hi Dima,

thanks for the checks.

If I compare the maximum of etac peaks with the last plot, it seems to me that with the mass fitter you have higher statistics (or maybe higher bg). Quite strange...