
Subject: Macros for Mvd+Stt Pattern Recognition
Posted by [Gianluigi Boca](#) on Mon, 06 Dec 2010 22:26:40 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

hallo,
you can find in the new directory

`$VMCWORKDIR/macro/sttmvdtracking`

three macros for generating, digitizing and reconstructing events.

In particular in `runreco.C` you can find the Task for Pattenr Recognition with Mvd + Stt

In short time `runreco.C` will be expanded to include also the Kalman filter track fitting.

Please try it and report any problems you may encounter

Gianluigi

Subject: Re: Macros for Mvd+Stt Pattern Recognition
Posted by [Stefano Spataro](#) on Tue, 07 Dec 2010 14:13:01 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Is there some reason to use `straws_skewed_blocks_pipe_120cm.geo` instead of `straws_skewed_blocks_35cm_pipe.geo` ? I supposed the latter is the default one.

Subject: Re: Macros for Mvd+Stt Pattern Recognition
Posted by [Stefano Spataro](#) on Tue, 07 Dec 2010 15:52:53 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Now `sttcombi` macros in `macro/pid` folder are updated using STT+MVD tracking code from Gianluigi.
It seems to work, also the kalman and the pid correlation

Subject: Re: Macros for Mvd+Stt Pattern Recognition
Posted by [Gianluigi Boca](#) on Wed, 08 Dec 2010 13:54:38 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Stefano Spataro wrote on Tue, 07 December 2010 15:13
Is there some reason to use `straws_skewed_blocks_pipe_120cm.geo` instead of `straws_skewed_blocks_35cm_pipe.geo` ?
I supposed the latter is the default one.

Form the mechanical point of view, the 120 cm geometry is favoured by the Julich people.
From the STT+GEM detector performance point of view it is not still clear, there pro's and con's.

So, what do you suggest Stefano ? Would the usage of the 120 cm geometry be a problem of consistency with result obtained in the past ?

Subject: Re: Macros for Mvd+Stt Pattern Recognition
Posted by [Gianluigi Boca](#) on Wed, 08 Dec 2010 13:58:22 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Gianluigi Boca wrote on Mon, 06 December 2010 23:26hallo,
you can find in the new directory

`$VMCWORKDIR/macro/sttmvdtracking`

three macros for generating, digitizing and reconstructing events.

In particular in `runreco.C` you can find the Task for Pattenr Recognition with Mvd + Stt

In short time `runreco.C` will be expanded to include also the Kalman filter track fitting.

Please try it and report any problems you may encounter

Gianluigi

I uploaded a new veriosn of the same
`$VMCWORKDIR/macro/sttmvdtracking/runreco.C`
with also the Kalman filter.

Also Lia provided the Macro

`$VMCWORKDIR/macro/sttmvdtracking/checkmomentum.C`

to plot the reconstructed momentum after the Pattern Recognition but BEFORE the
reconstructed momentum after the Kalman filter.

Gianluigi
Kalman filter and the

Subject: Re: Macros for Mvd+Stt Pattern Recognition
Posted by [Ralf Kliemt](#) on Fri, 10 Dec 2010 08:53:06 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hello.

Trying to use the new PR I get for the Kalman fit status flag always -1.

With Lhe I have a nice mix of 1, -1 & -2.

What is going on?

Ralf.

Subject: Re: Macros for Mvd+Stt Pattern Recognition

Posted by [Stefano Spataro](#) on Fri, 10 Dec 2010 09:45:16 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hi,

Tobias has changed in some part of the code the way to access to detector ID in the PndTrackCand.

Before, the detId was taken from the enum in PndDetectorList -> kMVDStripPixel.

With the new changes the detector ID is the branch ID of the corresponding TClonesArray, i.e.:

```
FairRootManager::Instance()->GetBranchId("MVDStripPixel")
```

As far as I have checked, the code was changed in lhetrack, pid and recotasks. Probably there is still some unchanged part of the code in Stt and maybe also in other tracking classes.

Subject: Re: Macros for Mvd+Stt Pattern Recognition

Posted by [Lia Lavezzi](#) on Fri, 10 Dec 2010 10:24:13 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hallo Ralf,

please use an older revision for the moment. I think r10451 should be fine. In the meantime we are working to make the stt code compatible with the new way of getting the detID. It shouldn't take too long.

Cheers,

Lia.

Update: sorry, 10451 is not old enough, it has to be older than 10446. But keep the newest macro/pid macros for stt in order to use the stt + mvd PR!

Subject: Re: Macros for Mvd+Stt Pattern Recognition

Posted by [Gianluigi Boca](#) on Fri, 10 Dec 2010 14:01:37 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Ralf Kliemt wrote on Fri, 10 December 2010 09:53Hello.

Trying to use the new PR I get for the Kalman fit status flag always -1.

With Lhe I have a nice mix of 1, -1 & -2.

What is going on?

Ralf.

Hallo Ralf,

I have just put the new version of the STT and STT+MVD PR code. All the hit enumerators have been substituted, so I believe the Macros run now.

Tschuess Gianluigi

Subject: Re: Macros for Mvd+Stt Pattern Recognition
Posted by [Ralf Kliemt](#) on Mon, 13 Dec 2010 16:00:22 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hi Gianluigi,

I wondered why the Real PR for STT needs the Monte-Carlo Point array. Diving deeper I found that in `PndSttTrackfinderReal::DoFind()` (~line 475) you abort the PR for fake hits, because you cannot add a proper reference. Could you remove these restrictions, giving a plain -1 as reference?

Regards.
Ralf

Subject: Re: Macros for Mvd+Stt Pattern Recognition
Posted by [Gianluigi Boca](#) on Wed, 15 Dec 2010 21:09:15 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Ralf Kliemt wrote on Mon, 13 December 2010 17:00Hi Gianluigi,

I wondered why the Real PR for STT needs the Monte-Carlo Point array. Diving deeper I found that in `PndSttTrackfinderReal::DoFind()` (~line 475) you abort the PR for fake hits, because you cannot add a proper reference. Could you remove these restrictions, giving a plain -1 as reference?

Regards.
Ralf

Hi Ralf,

done ! Now the noise hits or anyway hits not belonging to any MC track are included in the STT Pattern Recognition. The number that usually connects a Hit to a MC track in my code now is set at -20.

Notice though that in the STT at any Hit it corresponds a MC Track since the noise hasn't been implemented yet.

So the PR results should be unchanged.

The new `PndSttTrackFinderReal.cxx` and `PndSttMvdTracking.cxx` are in the repository.

Gianluigi

Subject: Re: Macros for Mvd+Stt Pattern Recognition
Posted by [Stefano Spataro](#) on Thu, 16 Dec 2010 09:02:41 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hi Gianluigi,

I have run the full sim chain in macro/pid, simulating 10k events with DPM to check the stability.

Everything is fine up to the stt pattern recognition (PndSttTrackFinderReal).
Once I add PndSttMvdTracking I have the system hanging on a well defined event (7434) for
hours and then closing the root.
I have tried two times with the same effect.

This is the last message I got:

Found Tracks: 0 in event no. 7431

Found Tracks: 0 in event no. 7432

Found Tracks: 0 in event no. 7433

Warning: numerical instability (primal simplex, phase II)

Found Tracks: 0 in event no. 7434

something fishy is going on in PndSttTrkAssociatedParallelHitsToHelixQuater!Range in Fi (rad)
is 3.454

something fishy is going on in PndSttTrkAssociatedParallelHitsToHelixQuater!Range in Fi (rad)
is 3.454

something fishy is going on in PndSttTrkAssociatedParallelHitsToHelixQuater!Range in Fi (rad)
is 3.454

something fishy is going on in PndSttTrkAssociatedParallelHitsToHelixQuater!Range in Fi (rad)
is 3.454

something fishy is going on in PndSttTrkAssociatedParallelHitsToHelixQuater!Range in Fi (rad)
is 3.454

something fishy is going on in PndSttTrkAssociatedParallelHitsToHelixQuater!Range in Fi (rad)
is 3.454

something fishy is going on in PndSttTrkAssociatedParallelHitsToHelixQuater!Range in Fi (rad)
is 3.454

something fishy is going on in PndSttTrkAssociatedParallelHitsToHelixQuater!Range in Fi (rad)
is 3.454

something fishy is going on in PndSttTrkAssociatedParallelHitsToHelixQuater!Range in Fi (rad)
is 3.454

something fishy is going on in PndSttTrkAssociatedParallelHitsToHelixQuater!Range in Fi (rad)
is 3.454

something fishy is going on in PndSttTrkAssociatedParallelHitsToHelixQuater!Range in Fi (rad)
is 3.454

something fishy is going on in PndSttTrkAssociatedParallelHitsToHelixQuater!Range in Fi (rad)
is 3.454

something fishy is going on in PndSttTrkAssociatedParallelHitsToHelixQuater!Range in Fi (rad)
is 3.454

something fishy is going on in PndSttTrkAssociatedParallelHitsToHelixQuater!Range in Fi (rad)
is 3.454

something fishy is going on in PndSttTrkAssociatedParallelHitsToHelixQuater!Range in Fi (rad)
is 3.454

is 3.454

Subject: Re: Macros for Mvd+Stt Pattern Recognition
Posted by [Gianluigi Boca](#) on Fri, 17 Dec 2010 12:51:18 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Stefano Spataro wrote on Thu, 16 December 2010 10:02Hi Gianluigi,
I have run the full sim chain in macro/pid, simulating 10k events with DPM to check the stability.

Everything is fine up to the stt pattern recognition (PndSttTrackFinderReal).
Once I add PndSttMvdTracking I have the system hanging on a well defined event (7434) for hours and then closing the root.
I have tried two times with the same effect.

This is the last message I got:

Found Tracks: 0 in event no. 7431

Found Tracks: 0 in event no. 7432

Found Tracks: 0 in event no. 7433

Warning: numerical instability (primal simplex, phase II)

Found Tracks: 0 in event no. 7434

something fishy is going on in PndSttTrkAssociatedParallelHitsToHelixQuater!Range in Fi (rad)
is 3.454

something fishy is going on in PndSttTrkAssociatedParallelHitsToHelixQuater!Range in Fi (rad)
is 3.454

something fishy is going on in PndSttTrkAssociatedParallelHitsToHelixQuater!Range in Fi (rad)
is 3.454

something fishy is going on in PndSttTrkAssociatedParallelHitsToHelixQuater!Range in Fi (rad)
is 3.454

something fishy is going on in PndSttTrkAssociatedParallelHitsToHelixQuater!Range in Fi (rad)
is 3.454

something fishy is going on in PndSttTrkAssociatedParallelHitsToHelixQuater!Range in Fi (rad)
is 3.454

something fishy is going on in PndSttTrkAssociatedParallelHitsToHelixQuater!Range in Fi (rad)
is 3.454

something fishy is going on in PndSttTrkAssociatedParallelHitsToHelixQuater!Range in Fi (rad)
is 3.454

something fishy is going on in PndSttTrkAssociatedParallelHitsToHelixQuater!Range in Fi (rad)
is 3.454

something fishy is going on in PndSttTrkAssociatedParallelHitsToHelixQuater!Range in Fi (rad)
is 3.454

something fishy is going on in PndSttTrkAssociatedParallelHitsToHelixQuater!Range in Fi (rad)
is 3.454

something fishy is going on in PndSttTrkAssociatedParallelHitsToHelixQuater!Range in Fi (rad)
is 3.454

something fishy is going on in PndSttTrkAssociatedParallelHitsToHelixQuater!Range in Fi (rad)
is 3.454

something fishy is going on in PndSttTrkAssociatedParallelHitsToHelixQuater!Range in Fi (rad)
is 3.454

something fishy is going on in PndSttTrkAssociatedParallelHitsToHelixQuater!Range in Fi (rad) is 3.454

Hi Stefano,

this is only to let the collaboration know that after we discussed on the phone, and after your attempts last night, it seems clear that the hanging of the program at events 7434 is caused by a memory leak.

Instead the messages : "something fishy is going on etc.etc." should never occur in principle.

For that purpose I sent you a modified version of PndSttTrkAssociatedParallelHitsToHelixQuater with some printouts added, in order to try understand this problem.

Gianluigi
