
Subject: Cluster energy overestimated
Posted by [donghee](#) on Tue, 04 Aug 2009 11:00:18 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dear EMC experts,

I have seen that estimated energy with finding cluster in EMC is slightly overestimated.
You find related 3 plots in attached files.

MC true and reconstructed energy are compared in theta and momentum bin.
You can clearly see the overestimated energy in energy resolution 2D plot.

EMC_g_mom_the_distribution.eps (red=MC, blue=reconstructed)
EMC_g_energy_resolution_2D.eps
EMC_g_theta_resolution_2D.eps

If I have a look for electron case, same amount of energy is also shifted.
I'm wondering how the calibration have been done. and how do we can correct wrongly
reconstructed energy in EMC.

Best regards,
Donghee

File Attachments

- 1) [EMC_g_mom_the_distribution.eps](#), downloaded 337 times
 - 2) [EMC_g_energy_resolution_2D.eps](#), downloaded 278 times
 - 3) [EMC_g_theta_resolution_2D.eps](#), downloaded 337 times
-

Subject: Re: Cluster energy overestimated
Posted by [mpeliz](#) on Wed, 05 Aug 2009 12:07:01 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hi Donghee,

the applied calibration method is described in the EMC TDR.
We calibrate on the mean value of the asymmetric distribution of the reconstructed energy, not
on it's maximum value. Therefore the "shift" you observed in your resolution plot.
The broad spread for a few events in your plot could come from split offs or photons going to
the edge barrel/endcap or forward/Shashlyk.

However, the parameters of the calibration were taken from the Babar-like software which uses
a different Geant version then the actual one used by PandaROOT. Therefore -at least- some
fine tuning is necessary and we are looking for volunteers.

Sincerely,
Marc

Subject: Re: Cluster energy overestimated
Posted by [donghee](#) on Wed, 05 Aug 2009 13:08:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dear Marc Pelizaeus,

Thank you for your kind explanation.

Best wishes,
Donghee
