

---

Subject: Re: SPU problem explanation.

Posted by [Lia Lavezzi](#) on Thu, 08 Oct 2009 07:49:48 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Hi Christian,

thank you for your reply! I appreciate the solution you propose.

It's just a pity that in such a representation the state vector is no more the "vector of the track parameters" alone, but it contains the additional variable spu; anyway, I agree that this is the only way to "propagate" the spu as it is meant to be.

The changes will be available also in GeaneTrackRep, right? Not only in GeaneTrackRep2...

Thank you,

ciao,

Lia.

---