
Subject: Re: Bump splitting: new endcap vs. old endcap
Posted by [Dima Melnychuk](#) on Mon, 09 Feb 2009 12:34:22 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hi Stefano,

Actually the emc classes PndEmcMapper and PndEmcStructure were not ported from Babar framework, but created from scratch because of different representation of geometry. In Babar framework the geometry was based on XML description and these two classes provide similar functionality to what exists in Babar framework but they are not identical.

The macro, which shows the plots on bump splitting is bump_analysis.C.

Concerning the PndEmcMapper::Instance(0) with parameter 0, it does not create the instance of PndEmcMapper, but only get the instance of the PndEmcMapper if it was created previously. Otherwise it gives the warning.

And at the moment PndEmcMapper is instantiated with proper input parameter in the Init() methods of the tasks where it is used, for example PndEmcMakeCluster, PndEmcMakeBump.

But I support the idea of Bertram for emc initialization sequence, let's say the task class PndEmcInit, which would be inside digitization/reconstruction sequence before any other tasks and where PndEmcMapper would be initialised only once.
But it's matter of discussion.

Dima