Hi all,

I also think that Stefano and Johan are right. However, maybe the confusion also arises from the fact, that in the structure before Sep08 the package manager was also (sort of) the (sub)coordinator. As an example, in case of emc, Stefano did all the emc code restructuring, merging of all the different calorimeters, all the phone calls, tested all the macros etc. etc. At least 50% of this work is emc (sub)coordinator work, which we now have in addition. So now we have two persons who are being responsible if the code doesn't work and/or there is not sufficient documentation. So maybe we just have to clearly define, who is taking care of what. The developers and package coordinators know the code and maybe write more svn comments, but maybe the (sub)coordinators should test the macros and maybe write more the Wiki (e.g. as it was in my case, and that is sort of an implicit filter so that not all the comments reach the Wiki). What would you think?

cheers, Soeren