Subject: Re: Weird STT reconstruction: efficiency depends on charge Posted by Andrew Savchenko on Fri, 25 Jan 2008 16:01:17 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Dear Pablo,

sorry for a long response, but I was busy a lot these days.

Pablo Genova wrote on Wed, 23 January 2008 17:07 So try to svn-update the PndSttHelixTrackFitter and do again the reconstruction.

Yes, this works thank you very much.

Quote:

Other observations:

- ->cross check of the variables
- 1. fParamLast.fTx*0.006 <----> transverse momentum
- [i. e. cbmsim->Draw("(fParamLast.fTx*0.006)"]
- 2.fParamLast.fTx*0.006*sqrt(1+fParamLast.fTy**2)) <----> total momentum

Do you agree?

Yes, I do. This is mathematically equivalent to what I'm using now.

Quote

->importance of fFlag==3 parameter

when you are looking at total reco, to get the only the events with the full reconstruction succeeded do like this:

cbmsim->Draw("(fParamLast.fTx*0.006)>>h2","fFlag==3")

in this way you select the events with fFlag==3 i. e. full success of the whole reconstructed procedure.

Yes, this helps. But not absolutely. Even with a check for (GetFlag() == 3) I still can see this false peak at 90 degrees, but it become much smaller.

Quote:

It's certainly an interesting and not yet done study that of STT resolution at small theta angles, with or without MVD.

MVD + STT integration is also an interesting issue not yet tackled.

Yeah, this should be investigated indeed, but later. Currently I will be out of work for several months due to technical reasons.

Quote:

If you need better explanation of the variables and the fitting procedure etc etc I can send you the presentations I made in the past and Lia's Phd Thesis (which, among other things, describes in detail the algorithm).

OK, this will be useful, but later

Andrew