
Subject: Re: Data levels in R3Broot - suggestion
Posted by [Dmytro Kresan](#) on Thu, 21 Jan 2016 10:10:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hi Ralf,

I fully agree to the scheme you have proposed.

We will not need "old" RawItem classes as soon as R3BRoot unpackers (3 or 4 existing) will be substituted by Ucesb Readers. But I would like to keep them for the transition period, so I will rename them to Unpack level.

Technical question, about the naming convention. For example, R3BNeulandHit and R3BNeulandDHit are self-informative. Do we need "Item" suffix for Mapped and Cal levels, something like R3BNeulandCalItem?

Cheers,
Dima
