

---

Subject: some options for eta\_c analysis

Posted by [Dima Melnychuk](#) on Tue, 11 Oct 2011 12:55:01 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Hi,

I have tried several options in eta\_c reconstruction as it was suggested by Stefano and here are the results.

I used for analysis data on grid run 925oldnocu (release july11).

1. Instead of selecting best eta\_c candidate after vertex fit (using its chi2) I tried a preselection of best candidate using chi2 defined as

$$(\text{totalreco\_mass} - \text{etac\_mass})^2 / \sigma(\text{eta\_c})^2 + (\text{phi1reco\_mass} - \text{phimass})^2 / \sigma(\text{phi}) + (\text{phi2reco\_mass} - \text{phimass})^2 / \sigma(\text{phi})$$

And here there is a small improvement in efficiency and eta\_c resolution and no improvement in phi mass resolution (efficiency 24.0%,  $\sigma(\text{eta\_c}) = 32.8$  MeV,  $\sigma(\text{phi}) = 3.92$  MeV).

For comparison with selection of best candidate after vertex fit (efficiency 22.8%,  $\sigma(\text{eta\_c}) = 33.2$  MeV,  $\sigma(\text{phi}) = 3.92$  MeV)

.

2. I also tried to perform a mass fitter for phi mass and here is the eta\_c mass resolution after combining 2 phi after this fitter (PndKinFitter).

Here the best candidate is selected by difference between reconstructed and nominal eta\_c mass and resolution is 34.7 MeV, i.e. worse than with vertex fit. So I would exclude this option in eta\_c analysis.

Dima

---

### File Attachments

1) [m\\_vertex\\_fit\\_preselection.png](#), downloaded 481 times

2) [m\\_vertex\\_fit.png](#), downloaded 489 times

3) [m\\_mass\\_fit.png](#), downloaded 424 times

---